

Structure and compositeness of exotic hadrons



Tetsuo Hyodo

Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kyoto Univ.

2018, Oct. 25th 1

Contents



Introduction: exotic hadron resonances

- “Structure” of unstable state is nontrivial !



Compositeness of hadron resonances

S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. 137, B672 (1965);

T. Hyodo, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 28, 1330045 (2013)


- Weak binding relation from EFT

Y. Kamiya, T. Hyodo, Phys. Rev. C93, 035203 (2016);


Y. Kamiya, T. Hyodo, PTEP2017, 023D02 (2017)

- Implication from nearby CDD zero

Y. Kamiya, T. Hyodo, Phys. Rev. D97, 054019 (2018)



or




Classification of hadrons

Observed hadrons


PDG2018 : <http://pdg.lbl.gov/>

p	$1/2^+$ ****	$\Delta(1232)$	$3/2^+$ ****	Σ^+	$1/2^+$ ****	Ξ^0	$1/2^+$ ****	Λ_c^+	$1/2^+$ ****
n	$1/2^+$ ****	$\Delta(1600)$	$3/2^+$ ***	Σ^0	$1/2^+$ ****	Ξ^-	$1/2^+$ ****	$\Lambda_c(2595)^+$	$1/2^-$ ***
$N(1440)$	$1/2^+$ ****	$\Delta(1620)$	$1/2^-$ ****	Σ^-	$1/2^+$ ****	$\Xi(1530)$	$3/2^+$ ****	$\Lambda_c(2625)^+$	$3/2^-$ ***
$N(1520)$	$3/2^-$ ****	$\Delta(1700)$	$3/2^-$ ****	$\Sigma(1385)$	$3/2^+$ ****	$\Xi(1620)$	*	$\Lambda_c(2765)^+$	*
$N(1535)$	$1/2^-$ ****	$\Delta(1750)$	$1/2^+$ *	$\Sigma(1480)$	*	$\Xi(1690)$	***	$\Lambda_c(2880)^+$	$5/2^+$ ***
$N(1650)$	$1/2^-$ ****	$\Delta(1900)$	$1/2^-$ **	$\Sigma(1560)$	**	$\Xi(1820)$	$3/2^-$ ***	$\Lambda_c(2940)^+$	***
$N(1675)$	$5/2^-$ ****	$\Delta(1905)$	$5/2^+$ ****	$\Sigma(1580)$	$3/2^-$ **	$\Xi(1950)$	***	$\Sigma_c(2455)$	$1/2^+$ ****
$N(1680)$	$5/2^+$ ****	$\Delta(1910)$	$1/2^+$ ****	$\Sigma(1620)$	$1/2^-$ *	$\Xi(2030)$	$\geq 5/2^?$ ***	$\Sigma_c(2520)$	$3/2^+$ ****
$N(1685)$	*	$\Delta(1920)$	$3/2^+$ ***	$\Sigma(1660)$	$1/2^+$ ***	$\Xi(2120)$	**	$\Sigma_c(2800)$	***
$N(1700)$	$3/2^-$ ***	$\Delta(1930)$	$5/2^-$ ***	$\Sigma(1670)$	$3/2^-$ ****	$\Xi(2250)$	*	Ξ_c	$1/2^+$ ***
$N(1710)$	$1/2^+$ ***	$\Delta(1940)$	$3/2^-$ **	$\Sigma(1690)$	**	Ξ_c	$1/2^+$ ***	Ξ_c	$1/2^+$ ***
$N(1720)$	$3/2^+$ ****	$\Delta(1950)$	$7/2^+$ ****	$\Sigma(1730)$	$3/2^+$ *	Ξ_c	$1/2^+$ ***	Ξ_c	$1/2^+$ ***
$N(1860)$	$5/2^+$ **	$\Delta(2000)$	$5/2^+$ **	$\Sigma(1750)$	$1/2^-$ ***	Ξ_c	$1/2^+$ ***	Ξ_c	$1/2^+$ ***
$N(1875)$	$3/2^-$ ***	$\Delta(2150)$	$1/2^-$ *	$\Sigma(1770)$	$1/2^+$ *	Ω^-	$3/2^+$ ****	Ξ_c	$1/2^+$ ***
$N(1880)$	$1/2^+$ **	$\Delta(2200)$	$7/2^-$ *	$\Sigma(1775)$	$5/2^-$ ****	$\Omega(2250)^-$	***	$\Xi_c(2645)$	$3/2^+$ ***
$N(1895)$	$1/2^-$ **	$\Delta(2300)$	$9/2^+$ **	$\Sigma(1840)$	$3/2^+$ **	$\Omega(2380)^-$	**	$\Xi_c(2790)$	$1/2^-$ ***
$N(1900)$	$3/2^+$ ***	$\Delta(2350)$	$5/2^-$ **	$\Sigma(1880)$	$1/2^+$ **	$\Omega(2470)^-$	**	$\Xi_c(2815)$	$3/2^-$ ***
$N(1990)$	$7/2^+$ **	$\Delta(2390)$	$7/2^+$ *	$\Sigma(1900)$	$1/2^-$ *			$\Xi_c(2930)$	*
$N(2000)$	$5/2^+$ **	$\Delta(2400)$	$9/2^-$ **	$\Sigma(1915)$	$5/2^+$ ****			$\Xi_c(2980)$	**
$N(2040)$	$3/2^+$ **	$\Delta(2420)$	$11/2^+$ ****	$\Sigma(1940)$	$3/2^+$ **			$\Xi_c(3055)$	***
$N(2060)$	$5/2^-$ **	$\Delta(2750)$	$13/2^-$ **	$\Sigma(1940)$	$3/2^-$ ***			$\Xi_c(3080)$	***
$N(2100)$	$1/2^+$ *	$\Delta(2950)$	$15/2^+$ **	$\Sigma(2000)$	$1/2^-$ *			$\Xi_c(3123)$	*
$N(2120)$	$3/2^-$ **			$\Sigma(2030)$	$7/2^+$ ****			Ω_c^0	$1/2^+$ ***
$N(2190)$	$7/2^-$ ****	Λ	$1/2^+$ ****	$\Sigma(2070)$	$5/2^+$ **			$\Omega_c(2770)^0$	$3/2^+$ ***
$N(2220)$	$9/2^+$ ****	$\Lambda(1405)$	$1/2^-$ ****	$\Sigma(2080)$	$3/2^+$ **			Ξ_{cc}^+	*
$N(2250)$	$9/2^-$ ****	$\Lambda(1520)$	$3/2^-$ ****	$\Sigma(2100)$	$7/2^-$ *				
$N(2300)$	$1/2^+$ **	$\Lambda(1600)$	$1/2^+$ ***	$\Sigma(2250)$	***			Λ_b^0	$1/2^+$ ***
$N(2570)$	$5/2^-$ **	$\Lambda(1670)$	$1/2^-$ ****	$\Sigma(2455)$	**			$\Lambda_b(5912)^0$	$1/2^-$ ***
$N(2600)$	$11/2^-$ ***	$\Lambda(1690)$	$3/2^-$ ****	$\Sigma(2620)$	**			$\Lambda_b(5920)^0$	$3/2^-$ ***
$N(2700)$	$13/2^+$ **	$\Lambda(1710)$	$1/2^+$ *	$\Sigma(3000)$	*			Σ_b	$1/2^+$ ***
		$\Lambda(1800)$	$1/2^-$ ***	$\Sigma(3170)$	*			Σ_b^+	$3/2^+$ ***
		$\Lambda(1810)$	$1/2^+$ ***					Ξ_b^-	$1/2^+$ ***
		$\Lambda(1820)$	$5/2^+$ ****					Ξ_b^0	$1/2^+$ ***
		$\Lambda(1830)$	$5/2^-$ ****					$\Xi_b(5935)^-$	$1/2^+$ ***
		$\Lambda(1890)$	$3/2^+$ ****					$\Xi_b(5945)^0$	$3/2^+$ ***
		$\Lambda(2000)$	*					$\Xi_b(5955)^-$	$3/2^+$ ***
		$\Lambda(2020)$	$7/2^+$ *					Ω_b	$1/2^+$ ***
		$\Lambda(2050)$	$3/2^-$ *						
		$\Lambda(2100)$	$7/2^-$ ****						
		$\Lambda(2110)$	$5/2^+$ ***						
		$\Lambda(2325)$	$3/2^-$ *						
		$\Lambda(2350)$	$9/2^+$ ***						
		$\Lambda(2585)$	**						



~ 150 baryons

LIGHT UNFLAVORED (S = C = B = 0)		STRANGE (S = ±1, C = B = 0)		CHARMED, STRANGE (C = S = ±1)		$c\bar{c}$ $F_1(F_2)$			
$F_1(F_2)$	$F_1(F_2)$	$F_1(F_2)$	$F_1(F_2)$	$F_1(F_2)$	$F_1(F_2)$	$F_1(F_2)$	$F_1(F_2)$		
π^+	$1^-(0^-)$	$\rho(1680)$	$0^-(1^-)$	K^+	$1/2(0^-)$	D_s^+	$0(0^-)$	$\eta_c(1S)$	$0^+(0^-)$
π^0	$1^-(0^-)$	$\rho_3(1690)$	$1^+(3^-)$	K^0	$1/2(0^-)$	D_s^0	$0(0^-)$	$J/\psi(1S)$	$0^-(1^-)$
η	$0^+(0^-)$	$\rho(1700)$	$1^+(1^-)$	K_S^0	$1/2(0^-)$	$D_{s1}^0(2317)^0$	$0(0^+)$	$\chi_{c0}(1P)$	$0^+(0^+)$
$\eta(500)$	$0^+(0^+)$	$a_2(1700)$	$1^-(2^+)$	K_L^0	$1/2(0^-)$	$D_{s1}^+(2460)^+$	$0(1^+)$	$\chi_{c1}(1P)$	$0^+(1^+)$
$\rho(770)$	$1^+(1^+)$	$\omega(1710)$	$0^+(0^+)$	$K_S^0(800)$	$1/2(0^+)$	$D_{s1}^+(2536)^+$	$0(1^+)$	$h_c(1P)$	$?^?(1^+)$
$\omega(782)$	$0^-(1^-)$	$\eta(1760)$	$0^+(0^-)$	$K^*(892)$	$1/2(1^-)$	$D_{s1}^+(2573)^+$	$0(0^+)$	$h_c(1P)$	$0^+(2^+)$
$\eta(958)$	$0^+(0^+)$	$\omega(1800)$	$1^-(0^-)$	$K_1^*(1270)$	$1/2(1^+)$	$D_{s1}^+(2700)^+$	$0(1^-)$	$\psi(2S)$	$0^-(1^-)$
$f_0(980)$	$0^+(0^+)$	$f_0(1810)$	$0^+(2^+)$	$K_1^*(1400)$	$1/2(1^+)$	$D_{s1}^+(2860)^+$	$0(0^+)$	$\psi(3770)$	$0^-(1^-)$
$a_0(980)$	$1^-(0^+)$	$X(1835)$	$?^?(2^-)$	$K^*(1410)$	$1/2(1^-)$	$D_{s1}^+(3040)^+$	$0(0^+)$	$X(3823)$	$?^?(2^-)$
$\phi(1020)$	$0^-(1^-)$	$X(1840)$	$?^?(2^?)$	$K_0^*(1430)$	$1/2(0^+)$			$X(3872)$	$0^+(1^+)$
$h(1170)$	$0^-(1^+)$	$\omega_3(1850)$	$0^-(3^-)$	$K_2^*(1430)$	$1/2(2^+)$			$X(3900)^0$	$?^?(1^+)$
$b_1(1235)$	$1^+(1^+)$	$\eta_2(1870)$	$0^+(2^+)$	$K(1460)$	$1/2(0^-)$			$X(3900)^0$	$?^?(2^?)$
$a_1(1260)$	$1^+(1^+)$	$\rho_3(1880)$	$1^-(2^-)$	$K_0(1580)$	$1/2(2^-)$	B^+	$1/2(0^-)$	$\chi_{c0}(3915)$	$0^+(0^+)$
$f_2(1270)$	$0^+(2^+)$	$\rho(1900)$	$1^+(1^-)$	$K(1630)$	$1/2(0^-)$	B^0	$1/2(0^-)$	$\chi_{c2}(2P)$	$0^+(2^+)$
$f_1(1285)$	$0^+(1^+)$	$\rho(1910)$	$1^+(2^+)$	$K(1630)$	$1/2(0^-)$	B^0	$1/2(0^-)$	$X(3940)$	$0^+(2^+)$
$\eta(1295)$	$0^+(0^+)$	$f_0(1900)$	$0^+(2^+)$	$K_1(1650)$	$1/2(1^+)$	B^0	$1/2(0^-)$	$X(4020)$	$?^?(2^?)$
$\pi(1300)$	$1^-(0^+)$	$f_0(1950)$	$0^+(2^+)$	$K_1(1680)$	$1/2(1^-)$	B^0	$1/2(0^-)$	$\psi(4040)$	$0^-(1^-)$
$a_2(1320)$	$1^-(2^+)$	$f_0(1990)$	$1^+(3^-)$	$K_2^*(1680)$	$1/2(2^-)$	B^0	$1/2(0^-)$	$X(4050)^0$	$?^?(2^?)$
$f_0(1370)$	$0^+(0^+)$	$f_0(2010)$	$0^+(2^+)$	$K_3^*(1780)$	$1/2(3^-)$	B^0	$1/2(0^-)$	$X(4140)$	$0^+(2^+)$
$h_1(1380)$	$?^?(1^+)$	$f_0(2020)$	$0^+(2^+)$	$K_3^*(1820)$	$1/2(3^-)$	B^0	$1/2(0^-)$	$X(4160)$	$0^-(1^-)$
$\pi_1(1400)$	$1^-(1^+)$	$a_0(2040)$	$1^-(4^+)$	$K_3^*(1830)$	$1/2(0^-)$	$B_1(5721)^0$	$1/2(1^+)$	$\psi(4160)$	$0^-(1^-)$
$\eta(1405)$	$0^+(0^+)$	$f_0(2050)$	$0^+(4^+)$	$K_0^*(1950)$	$1/2(0^+)$	$B_1(5721)^0$	$1/2(1^+)$	$X(4150)$	$?^?(2^?)$
$f_1(1420)$	$0^+(1^+)$	$f_0(2100)$	$0^+(0^+)$	$K_2^*(1980)$	$1/2(2^+)$	$B_1^*(5732)$	$?^?(2^?)$	$X(4230)$	$?^?(1^-)$
$\omega(1420)$	$0^-(1^-)$	$f_2(2150)$	$0^+(2^+)$	$K_4^*(2045)$	$1/2(4^+)$	$B_2^*(5747)^0$	$1/2(2^+)$	$X(4240)^0$	$?^?(1^-)$
$f_2(1430)$	$0^+(2^+)$	$\rho(2150)$	$1^-(1^-)$	$K_0^*(2055)$	$1/2(2^+)$	$B_2^*(5747)^0$	$1/2(2^+)$	$X(4250)^0$	$?^?(2^?)$
$a_0(1450)$	$1^-(0^+)$	$\phi(2170)$	$0^-(1^-)$	$K_0^*(2380)$	$1/2(5^-)$	$B(5970)^0$	$?^?(2^?)$	$X(4260)$	$?^?(1^-)$
$\rho(1450)$	$1^+(1^-)$	$f_0(2200)$	$0^+(0^+)$	$K_3^*(2380)$	$1/2(3^-)$	$B(5970)^0$	$?^?(2^?)$	$X(4350)$	$0^+(2^+)$
$\eta(1475)$	$0^+(0^+)$	$f_1(2220)$	$0^+(2^+)$	$K_3^*(2500)$	$1/2(4^-)$	$B(5970)^0$	$?^?(2^?)$	$X(4360)$	$?^?(1^-)$
$f_0(1500)$	$0^+(0^+)$	$\eta(2225)$	$0^+(0^-)$	$K(3100)$	$?^?(2^?)$			$\psi(4415)$	$0^-(1^-)$
$f_1(1510)$	$0^+(1^+)$							$X(4430)^0$	$?^?(1^-)$
$f_2(1525)$	$0^+(2^+)$							$X(4660)$	$?^?(1^-)$
$f_3(1565)$	$0^+(2^+)$								
$\rho(1570)$	$1^+(1^+)$								
$h(1595)$	$0^-(1^+)$								
$\pi_1(1600)$	$1^-(1^+)$								
$a_1(1640)$	$1^-(1^+)$								
$f_2(1640)$	$0^+(2^+)$								
$\eta_2(1645)$	$0^+(2^+)$								
$\omega(1650)$	$0^-(1^-)$								
$\omega_3(1670)$	$0^-(3^-)$								
$\pi_2(1670)$	$1^-(2^-)$								



~ 210 mesons


All ~ 360 hadrons emerge from single QCD Lagrangian.

All flavor quantum numbers are described by $qqq/q\bar{q}$.


Exotic candidates beyond $qqq/q\bar{q}$

Tetraquark candidate (Belle)

: $Z_b(10610)$, $Z_b(10650)$




A. Bondar, *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 122001 (2012)




Pentaquark candidate (LHCb)

: $P_c(4450)$, $P_c(4380)$



R. Aaij, *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 072001 (2015)




Only a few are observed. **Why only a few?**

Various hadronic excitations

Description of excited baryons

Conventional structure

Exotic structures



In QCD, non- qqq structures naturally arise.


- Baryons: superposition of qqq + exotic structures
- > How can we disentangle different components?

Unstable states via strong interaction


Hadron resonances

PDG2018 : <http://pdg.lbl.gov/>

p	$1/2^+$ ****	Δ (1232)	$3/2^+$ ****	Σ^+	$1/2^+$ ****	Ξ^0	$1/2^+$ ****	Λ_c^+	$1/2^+$ ****
n	$1/2^+$ ****	Δ (1600)	$3/2^+$ ***	Σ^0	$1/2^+$ ****	Ξ^-	$1/2^+$ ****	$\Lambda_c(2595)^+$	$1/2^-$ ***
$N(1440)$	$1/2^+$ ****	Δ (1620)	$1/2^-$ ****	Σ^-	$1/2^+$ ****	$\Xi(1530)$	$3/2^+$ ****	$\Lambda_c(2625)^+$	$3/2^-$ ***
$N(1520)$	$3/2^-$ ****	Δ (1700)	$3/2^-$ ****	$\Sigma(1385)$	$3/2^+$ ****	$\Xi(1620)$	***	$\Lambda_c(2765)^+$	*
$N(1535)$	$1/2^-$ ****	Δ (1750)	$1/2^+$ *	$\Sigma(1480)$	*	$\Xi(1690)$	***	$\Lambda_c(2880)^+$	$5/2^+$ ***
$N(1650)$	$1/2^-$ ****	Δ (1900)	$1/2^-$ **	$\Sigma(1560)$	**	$\Xi(1820)$	$3/2^-$ ***	$\Lambda_c(2940)^+$	***
$N(1675)$	$5/2^-$ ****	Δ (1905)	$5/2^+$ ****	$\Sigma(1580)$	$3/2^-$ **	$\Xi(1950)$	***	$\Sigma_c(2455)$	$1/2^+$ ****
$N(1680)$	$5/2^+$ ****	Δ (1910)	$1/2^+$ ****	$\Sigma(1620)$	$1/2^-$ *	$\Xi(2030)$	$\geq 5/2^?$ ***	$\Sigma_c(2520)$	$3/2^+$ ****
$N(1685)$	*	Δ (1920)	$3/2^+$ ***	$\Sigma(1660)$	$1/2^+$ ***	$\Xi(2120)$	*	$\Sigma_c(2800)$	***
$N(1700)$	$3/2^-$ ***	Δ (1930)	$5/2^-$ **	$\Sigma(1670)$	$3/2^-$ ****	$\Xi(2250)$	**	Ξ_c	$1/2^+$ ***
$N(1710)$	$1/2^+$ ***	Δ (1940)	$3/2^-$ **	$\Sigma(1690)$	**	$\Xi(2370)$	**	Ξ_c	$1/2^+$ ***
$N(1720)$	$3/2^+$ ****	Δ (1950)	$7/2^+$ ****	$\Sigma(1730)$	$3/2^+$ **	$\Xi(2500)$	*	Ξ_c	$1/2^+$ ***
$N(1860)$	$5/2^+$ **	Δ (2000)	$5/2^+$ **	$\Sigma(1750)$	$1/2^-$ ***			Ξ_c	$1/2^+$ ***
$N(1875)$	$3/2^-$ ***	Δ (2150)	$1/2^-$ *	$\Sigma(1770)$	$1/2^+$ **	Ω^-	$3/2^+$ ****	Ξ_c	$1/2^+$ ***
$N(1880)$	$1/2^+$ **	Δ (2200)	$7/2^-$ *	$\Sigma(1775)$	$5/2^-$ ****	$\Omega(2250)$	***	Ξ_c	$3/2^+$ ****
$N(1895)$	$1/2^-$ **	Δ (2300)	$9/2^+$ **	$\Sigma(1840)$	$3/2^+$ **	$\Omega(2380)^-$	**	Ξ_c	$1/2^-$ ****
$N(1900)$	$3/2^+$ ***	Δ (2350)	$5/2^-$ **	$\Sigma(1880)$	$1/2^+$ **	$\Omega(2470)^-$	**	Ξ_c	$3/2^-$ ****
$N(1990)$	$7/2^+$ **	Δ (2390)	$7/2^+$ *	$\Sigma(1900)$	$1/2^-$ *			Ξ_c	***
$N(2000)$	$5/2^+$ **	Δ (2400)	$9/2^-$ **	$\Sigma(1915)$	$5/2^+$ ****			Ξ_c	***
$N(2040)$	$3/2^+$ *	Δ (2420)	$11/2^+$ ****	$\Sigma(1940)$	$3/2^+$ **			Ξ_c	***
$N(2060)$	$5/2^-$ **	Δ (2750)	$13/2^-$ **	$\Sigma(1940)$	$3/2^-$ ***			Ξ_c	***
$N(2100)$	$1/2^+$ *	Δ (2950)	$15/2^+$ **	$\Sigma(2000)$	$1/2^-$ *			Ξ_c	*
$N(2120)$	$3/2^-$ **			$\Sigma(2030)$	$7/2^+$ ****			Ω_c^0	$1/2^+$ ***
$N(2190)$	$7/2^-$ ****	Λ	$1/2^+$ ****	$\Sigma(2070)$	$5/2^+$ *			$\Omega_c(2770)^0$	$3/2^+$ ***
$N(2220)$	$9/2^+$ ****	$\Lambda(1405)$	$1/2^-$ ****	$\Sigma(2080)$	$3/2^+$ **			Ξ_{cc}^+	*
$N(2250)$	$9/2^-$ ****	$\Lambda(1520)$	$3/2^-$ ****	$\Sigma(2100)$	$7/2^-$ **			Λ_b^0	$1/2^+$ ***
$N(2300)$	$1/2^+$ **	$\Lambda(1600)$	$1/2^+$ ***	$\Sigma(2250)$	***			$\Lambda_b(5912)^0$	$1/2^-$ ***
$N(2570)$	$5/2^-$ **	$\Lambda(1670)$	$1/2^-$ ****	$\Sigma(2455)$	**			$\Lambda_b(5920)^0$	$3/2^-$ ***
$N(2600)$	$11/2^-$ ***	$\Lambda(1690)$	$3/2^-$ ****	$\Sigma(2620)$	**			Σ_b	$1/2^+$ ***
$N(2700)$	$13/2^+$ **	$\Lambda(1710)$	$1/2^+$ *	$\Sigma(3000)$	*			Σ_b^+	$3/2^+$ ***
		$\Lambda(1800)$	$1/2^-$ ***	$\Sigma(3170)$	*			Ξ_b^0, Ξ_b^-	$1/2^+$ ***
		$\Lambda(1810)$	$1/2^+$ ***					$\Xi_b^{\prime 0}, \Xi_b^{\prime -}$	$1/2^+$ ***
		$\Lambda(1820)$	$5/2^+$ ****					$\Xi_b^{\prime \prime 0}, \Xi_b^{\prime \prime -}$	$1/2^+$ ***
		$\Lambda(1830)$	$5/2^-$ ****					$\Xi_b(5935)^-$	$1/2^+$ ***
		$\Lambda(1890)$	$3/2^+$ ****					$\Xi_b(5945)^0$	$3/2^+$ ***
		$\Lambda(2000)$	*					$\Xi_b(5955)^-$	$3/2^+$ ***
		$\Lambda(2020)$	$7/2^+$ *					Ω_b	$1/2^+$ ***
		$\Lambda(2050)$	$3/2^-$ *						
		$\Lambda(2100)$	$7/2^-$ ****						
		$\Lambda(2110)$	$5/2^+$ ***						
		$\Lambda(2325)$	$3/2^-$ *						
		$\Lambda(2350)$	$9/2^+$ ***						
		$\Lambda(2585)$	**						



LIGHT UNFLAVORED (S = C = B = 0)		STRANGE (S = ±1, C = B = 0)		CHARMED, STRANGE (C = S = ±1)	$c\bar{c}$ $F_c(F_c^c)$
$F_c(F_c^c)$	$F_c(F_c^c)$	$F_c(F_c^c)$	$F_c(F_c^c)$	$F_c(F_c^c)$	$F_c(F_c^c)$
π^+	$1(0^-)$	$\rho(1680)$	$0^-(1^-)$	D_s^+	$0^-(0^-)$
π^0	$1(0^-)$	$\rho(1690)$	$1^+(3^-)$	D_s^0	$0^-(0^-)$
η	$0^+(0^-)$	$\rho(1700)$	$1^+(1^-)$	$D_s^-(2317)^-$	$0^+(0^+)$
$\eta(500)$	$0^+(0^+)$	$\rho(1710)$	$1^-(2^+)$	$D_{s1}(2460)^+$	$0^+(1^+)$
$\rho(770)$	$1^+(1^-)$	$\rho(1710)$	$0^+(0^+)$	$D_{s1}(2536)^+$	$0^+(1^+)$
$\omega(782)$	$0^-(1^-)$	$\rho(1760)$	$0^+(0^+)$	$D_{s2}(2573)$	$0^?(2^?)$
$\eta(958)$	$0^+(0^+)$	$\rho(1800)$	$1^-(0^+)$	$D_{s1}(2700)^+$	$0^-(1^-)$
$\eta(980)$	$0^+(0^+)$	$\rho(1810)$	$0^+(2^+)$	$D_{s2}(2860)^+$	$0^?(2^?)$
$\omega(980)$	$1^-(0^+)$	$X(1835)$	$2^?(2^?)$	$D_{s1}(3040)^+$	$0^?(2^?)$
$\phi(1020)$	$0^-(1^-)$	$X(1840)$	$2^?(2^?)$		
$h_1(1170)$	$0^-(1^+)$	$\eta_3(1850)$	$0^-(3^-)$		
$h_c(1235)$	$1^+(1^+)$	$\eta_3(1880)$	$0^+(2^+)$		
$\omega(1260)$	$1^+(1^+)$	$\eta_3(1870)$	$1^-(2^+)$		
$f_2(1270)$	$0^+(2^+)$	$\rho(1900)$	$1^+(1^-)$		
$f_1(1285)$	$0^+(1^+)$	$f_1(1910)$	$1^+(1^-)$		
$\eta(1295)$	$0^+(0^+)$	$f_2(1950)$	$0^+(2^+)$		
$\pi(1300)$	$1^-(0^+)$	$\eta_3(1990)$	$1^+(3^-)$		
$\omega(1320)$	$1^-(2^+)$	$f_2(2010)$	$0^+(2^+)$		
$f_0(1370)$	$0^+(0^+)$	$f_0(2020)$	$0^+(0^+)$		
$h_1(1380)$	$1^-(1^+)$	$\omega(2040)$	$1^-(4^+)$		
$\eta_3(1400)$	$1^-(1^+)$	$f_0(2050)$	$0^+(4^+)$		
$\eta(1405)$	$0^+(0^+)$	$\eta_3(2100)$	$1^-(2^+)$		
$f_1(1420)$	$0^+(1^+)$	$f_0(2100)$	$0^+(0^+)$		
$\omega(1420)$	$0^-(1^-)$	$f_2(2150)$	$0^+(2^+)$		
$f_2(1430)$	$0^+(2^+)$	$\rho(2150)$	$1^-(1^-)$		
$\omega(1450)$	$1^-(0^+)$	$\phi(2170)$	$0^-(1^-)$		
$\rho(1450)$	$1^+(1^-)$	$f_0(2200)$	$0^+(0^+)$		
$\eta(1475)$	$0^+(0^+)$	$f_2(2220)$	$0^+(2^+)$		
$f_0(1500)$	$0^+(0^+)$	$\eta(2225)$	$0^+(0^+)$		
$f_1(1510)$	$0^+(1^+)$	$\eta_3(2250)$	$1^+(3^-)$		
$f_2(1525)$	$0^+(2^+)$	$f_0(2300)$	$0^+(2^+)$		
$f_2(1565)$	$0^+(2^+)$	$f_0(2300)$	$0^+(4^+)$		
$\omega(1570)$	$1^+(1^+)$	$f_0(2330)$	$0^+(0^+)$		
$h_c(1595)$	$0^-(1^+)$	$f_2(2340)$	$0^+(2^+)$		
$\eta_3(1600)$	$1^-(1^+)$	$\eta_3(2350)$	$1^+(5^-)$		
$\omega(1640)$	$1^-(1^+)$	$\omega(2450)$	$1^-(6^+)$		
$f_2(1640)$	$0^+(2^+)$	$\omega(2510)$	$0^+(6^+)$		
$\eta_3(1645)$	$0^+(2^+)$				
$\omega(1650)$	$0^-(1^-)$				
$\omega_3(1670)$	$0^-(3^-)$				
$\pi_2(1670)$	$1^-(2^+)$				



- stable/unstable via strong interaction

- Excited states are mostly unstable. —> resonances

Difficulty of resonances

Resonance as an “eigenstate” of Hamiltonian

- complex energy

G. Gamow, Z. Phys. 51, 204 (1928)

Zur Quantentheorie des Atomkernes.

Von G. Gamow, z. Zt. in Göttingen.

Mit 5 Abbildungen. (Eingegangen am 2. August 1928.)


Um diese Schwierigkeit zu überwinden, müssen wir annehmen, daß die Schwingungen gedämpft sind, und E komplex setzen :

$$E = E_0 + i \frac{\hbar \lambda}{4\pi},$$

wo E_0 die gewöhnliche Energie ist und λ das Dämpfungsdekrement (Zerfallskonstante). Dann sehen wir aber aus den Relationen (2a) und (2b),

- diverging wave function ($\text{Im } k < 0$)

$$\langle R | R \rangle = \int d\mathbf{r} |\psi_R(\mathbf{r})|^2 \sim \int_0^\infty dr e^{-2\text{Im}[k]r} \rightarrow \infty$$



Bi-orthogonal basis (Gamow vectors): normalizable!

N. Hokkyo, Prog. Theor. Phys. 33, 1116 (1965)

T. Berggren, Nucl. Phys. A 109, 265 (1968)



$$|\tilde{R}\rangle = |R^*\rangle, \quad |\langle \tilde{R} | R \rangle| = \left| \int d\mathbf{r} [\psi_R(\mathbf{r})]^2 \right| < \infty$$

- Complex expectation value (norm, $\langle r^2 \rangle$) \rightarrow interpretation?

Classification of resonances



1) Potential (shape) resonance

- 1 channel (P)
- potential barrier : $E > 0$
- unstable via tunneling
- (**composite** of P-channel)



2) Feshbach resonance

- coupled-channel (P+Q)
- bound state of Q: $E_Q < 0$, $E_P > 0$
- unstable via transition
- (“**elementary**”: other than P)




Classification by **their origin**

Compositeness of hadrons

- Structure of unstable state is **nontrivial**.
- Weak binding relation for stable bound states

S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. 137, B672 (1965)



- Effective field theory \rightarrow description of low-energy scattering amplitude, generalization to **unstable** resonances

Weak binding relation for stable states

Compositeness X of s-wave weakly bound state ($R \gg R_{\text{typ}}$)

S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. 137, B672 (1965);

T. Hyodo, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 28, 1330045 (2013)

$$|d\rangle = \sqrt{X} |NN\rangle + \sqrt{1-X} |\text{others}\rangle$$

$$a_0 = R \left\{ \frac{2X}{1+X} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{R_{\text{typ}}}{R}\right) \right\}, \quad r_e = R \left\{ \frac{X-1}{X} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{R_{\text{typ}}}{R}\right) \right\}$$

a_0 : scattering length, r_e : effective range

$R = (2\mu B)^{-1/2}$: radius of wave function

R_{typ} : length scale of interaction

- **Deuteron is NN composite ($a_0 \sim R \gg r_e$) $\rightarrow X \sim 1$**
- **Internal structure from observable**

Problem: applicable only for stable states.

Effective field theory

Low-energy scattering with near-threshold bound state


- Nonrelativistic EFT with contact interaction

D.B. Kaplan, Nucl. Phys. B494, 471 (1997)

E. Braaten, M. Kusunoki, D. Zhang, Annals Phys. 323, 1770 (2008)

$$H_{\text{free}} = \int d\mathbf{r} \left[\frac{1}{2M} \nabla \psi^\dagger \cdot \nabla \psi + \frac{1}{2m} \nabla \phi^\dagger \cdot \nabla \phi + \frac{1}{2M_0} \nabla B_0^\dagger \cdot \nabla B_0 + \nu_0 B_0^\dagger B_0 \right],$$

$$H_{\text{int}} = \int d\mathbf{r} \left[g_0 \left(B_0^\dagger \phi \psi + \psi^\dagger \phi^\dagger B_0 \right) + v_0 \psi^\dagger \phi^\dagger \phi \psi \right]$$



- **cutoff** : $\Lambda \sim 1/R_{\text{typ}}$ (interaction range of microscopic theory)
- At low energy $p \ll \Lambda$, interaction \sim contact

Compositeness and “elementariness”

Eigenstates

$$H_{\text{free}}|B_0\rangle = \nu_0|B_0\rangle, \quad H_{\text{free}}|\mathbf{p}\rangle = \frac{p^2}{2\mu}|\mathbf{p}\rangle \quad \text{free (discrete + continuum)}$$

$$(H_{\text{free}} + H_{\text{int}})|B\rangle = -B|B\rangle \quad \text{full (bound state)}$$

- normalization of $|B\rangle$ + completeness relation

$$\langle B|B\rangle = 1, \quad 1 = |B_0\rangle\langle B_0| + \int \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3} |\mathbf{p}\rangle\langle \mathbf{p}|$$

- projections onto free eigenstates

$$1 = Z + X, \quad \underline{Z \equiv |\langle B_0|B\rangle|^2}, \quad \underline{X \equiv \int \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3} |\langle \mathbf{p}|B\rangle|^2}$$

“elementariness” compositeness



Z, X : real and nonnegative \rightarrow interpreted as **probability**

Weak binding relation

$\Psi\Phi$ scattering amplitude (exact result)

$$f(E) = -\frac{\mu}{2\pi} \frac{1}{[v(E)]^{-1} - G(E)}$$

$$v(E) = v_0 + \frac{g_0^2}{E - \nu_0}, \quad G(E) = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_0^\Lambda dp \frac{p^2}{E - p^2/(2\mu) + i0^+}$$

Compositeness $X \leftarrow -v(E), G(E)$

$$X = \frac{G'(-B)}{G'(-B) - [1/v(-B)]'}$$

$1/R = (2\mu B)^{1/2}$ expansion: leading term $\leftarrow X$

$$a_0 = -f(E=0) = R \left\{ \frac{2X}{1+X} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{R_{\text{typ}}}{R}\right) \right\} \text{ renormalization dependent}$$

renormalization independent

If $R \gg R_{\text{typ}}$, correction terms neglected: $X \leftarrow (B, a_0)$

Introduction of decay channel

Introduce decay channel


$$H'_{\text{free}} = \int d\mathbf{r} \left[\frac{1}{2M'} \nabla \psi'^{\dagger} \cdot \nabla \psi' - \nu_{\psi} \psi'^{\dagger} \psi' + \frac{1}{2m'} \nabla \phi'^{\dagger} \cdot \nabla \phi' - \nu_{\phi} \phi'^{\dagger} \phi' \right],$$

$$H'_{\text{int}} = \int d\mathbf{r} \left[g'_0 \left(B_0^{\dagger} \phi' \psi' + \psi'^{\dagger} \phi'^{\dagger} B_0 \right) + v'_0 \psi'^{\dagger} \phi'^{\dagger} \phi' \psi' + v_0^t (\psi^{\dagger} \phi^{\dagger} \phi' \psi' + \psi'^{\dagger} \phi'^{\dagger} \phi \psi) \right],$$

Quasi-bound state: complex eigenvalue

$$H = H_{\text{free}} + H'_{\text{free}} + H_{\text{int}} + H'_{\text{int}}$$

$$H |QB\rangle = E_{QB} |QB\rangle, \quad E_{QB} \in \mathbb{C}$$



Generalized relation: correction term \leftarrow threshold difference

$$a_0 = R \left\{ \frac{2X}{1+X} + \mathcal{O} \left(\left| \frac{R_{\text{typ}}}{R} \right| \right) + \mathcal{O} \left(\left| \frac{l}{R} \right|^3 \right) \right\}, \quad R = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-2\mu E_{QB}}} \in \mathbb{C}, \quad l \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\mu\nu}}$$

Y. Kamiya, T. Hyodo, Phys. Rev. C93, 035203 (2016)

c.f. V. Baru, *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B586, 53 (2004),...

If $|R| \gg (R_{\text{typ}}, l)$ correction terms neglected: $X \leftarrow (E_{QB}, a_0)$

Complex compositeness

Unstable states \rightarrow complex Z and X

$$Z + X = 1, \quad Z, X \in \mathbb{C}$$

- Probabilistic interpretation?

New definition

$$\tilde{Z} = \frac{1 - |X| + |Z|}{2}, \quad \tilde{X} = \frac{1 - |Z| + |X|}{2}$$

- interpreted as **probabilities** $\tilde{Z} + \tilde{X} = 1, \quad \tilde{Z}, \tilde{X} \in [0, 1]$


- reduces to Z and X in the bound state limit

U : uncertainty of interpretation

$$U = |Z| + |X| - 1$$

c.f. T. Berggren, Phys. Lett. 33B, 547 (1970)

- Sensible interpretation only for small U case



Application: $\Lambda(1405)$ properties

Generalized weak binding relation

$$a_0 = R \left\{ \frac{2X}{1+X} + \mathcal{O} \left(\left| \frac{R_{\text{typ}}}{R} \right| \right) + \mathcal{O} \left(\left| \frac{l}{R} \right|^3 \right) \right\}, \quad R = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-2\mu E_{QB}}}, \quad l \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\mu\nu}}$$

- Consider $\Lambda(1405)$ in $\bar{K}N$ scattering
- To determine X , we need (E_{QB} , a_0)

Recent analysis with chiral SU(3) dynamics

Y. Ikeda, T. Hyodo, W. Weise, PLB 706, 63 (2011); NPA 881 98 (2012)

- Chiral interaction up to NLO order
 - Fit to whole set of experimental data (with SIDDARTA)
- $E_{QB} = -10 - 26i$ MeV, $a_0 = 1.39 - 0.85i$ fm

(position of the “high-mass pole”)

Application: result

Estimation of correction terms : $|R| \sim 2$ fm

$$a_0 = R \left\{ \frac{2X}{1+X} + \mathcal{O}\left(\left|\frac{R_{\text{typ}}}{R}\right|\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\left|\frac{l}{R}\right|^3\right) \right\}, \quad R = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-2\mu E_{QB}}}, \quad l \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\mu\nu}}$$

- **vector meson exchange picture : $|R_{\text{typ}}/R| \sim 0.12$**
- **energy difference from $\pi\Sigma$: $|l/R|^3 \sim 0.16$**

Neglecting the correction terms, we obtain

$$X_{\bar{K}N} = 1.2 + i0.1, \quad \tilde{X}_{\bar{K}N} = 1.0, \quad U = 0.5$$

- **comparison with other (E_{QB}, a_0) determinations in PDG**

Ref.	E_{QB} (MeV)	a_0 (fm)	$X_{\bar{K}N}$	$\tilde{X}_{\bar{K}N}$	U	$ r_e/a_0 $
[43]	$-10 - i26$	$1.39 - i0.85$	$1.2 + i0.1$	1.0	0.5	0.2
[44]	$-4 - i8$	$1.81 - i0.92$	$0.6 + i0.1$	0.6	0.0	0.7
[45]	$-13 - i20$	$1.30 - i0.85$	$0.9 - i0.2$	0.9	0.1	0.2
[46]	$2 - i10$	$1.21 - i1.47$	$0.6 + i0.0$	0.6	0.0	0.7
[46]	$-3 - i12$	$1.52 - i1.85$	$1.0 + i0.5$	0.8	0.6	0.4

↑
systematic error
↓

$\Lambda(1405)$ is $\bar{K}N$ composite dominance ← observables

Summary 1

- Compositeness of **near-threshold** bound state can be determined only by **observables**.

S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. 137, B672 (1965)


- Weak binding relation can be **generalized to unstable states** with effective field theory.

$$a_0 = R \left\{ \frac{2X}{1+X} + \mathcal{O} \left(\left| \frac{R_{\text{typ}}}{R} \right| \right) + \mathcal{O} \left(\left| \frac{l}{R} \right|^3 \right) \right\}, \quad R = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-2\mu E_{QB}}}, \quad l \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\mu\nu}}$$

- Recent determination of R and a_0 shows that high-mass pole of $\Lambda(1405)$ is dominated by **$\bar{K}N$ composite component**.

Y. Kamiya, T. Hyodo, Phys. Rev. C93, 035203 (2016);

Y. Kamiya, T. Hyodo, PTEP2017, 023D02 (2017)



Analytic structure of scattering amplitude

Pole of scattering amplitude $f(E_{\text{pole}})=\infty$

J.R. Taylor, *Scattering theory* (Wiley, New York, 1972)

- represents (complex) eigenvalue of Hamiltonian
- unique through analytic continuation

CDD (Castillejo-Dalitz-Dyson) zero

L. Castillejo, R.H. Dalitz, F.J. Dyson, *Phys. Rev.* 124, 264 (1961)

- pole of inverse amplitude, zero of amplitude $f(E_{\text{CDD}})=0$
- unique through analytic continuation
- role of CDD zero in hadron scattering, resonances, etc.

V. Baru, *et al.*, *Eur. Phys. J. A* 44, 93 (2010),

C. Hanhart, *et al.*, *Eur. Phys. J. A* 47, 101 (2011),



Z.H. Guo, J.A. Oller, *Phys. Rev. D* 93, 054014 (2016)

CDD zero \longleftrightarrow elementary/composite?

Fate of pole in zero coupling limit

Zero coupling limit (ZCL): switching off the channel coupling

R.J. Eden, J.R. Taylor, Phys. Rev. 133, B1575 (1964)



Pole behavior in the ZCL

- Composite (P origin) : pole **remains** in P amplitude
- "Elementary" (Q origin) : pole **decouples** from P amplitude

Is this reflected in the CDD zero?


General discussion

Scattering amplitude $f(E)$ is meromorphic in energy

Y. Kamiya, T. Hyodo, Phys. Rev. D97, 054019 (2018)

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \oint_C dz \frac{d \arg f(z)}{dz} = n_Z - n_P \equiv n_C$$

- n_Z (n_P) : number of **zeros** (**poles**) in contour C
- Topological invariant of $\pi_1(\text{U}(1)) \cong \mathbb{Z}$





Pole cannot decouple without merging with CDD zero

—> existence of nearby CDD zero indicates “elementary” (i.e. origin is not in this channel).

Example: $\Lambda(1405)$


Poles and zeros in the $\bar{K}N$ and $\pi\Sigma$ amplitudes




- In $\pi\Sigma$ amplitude, CDD zero exists near the high-mass pole, and merges with it to decouple in the ZCL.
- In $\bar{K}N$ amplitude, CDD zero exists near the low-mass pole, and merges with it to decouple in the ZCL.


Low- (high-)mass pole is not $\bar{K}N$ ($\pi\Sigma$) composite.

Summary 2

 “Elementary” pole decouples from the amplitude in the zero coupling limit.

 For a pole to decouple from the amplitude, there must be a **nearby CDD zero**.

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \oint_C dz \frac{d \arg f(z)}{dz} = n_Z - n_P$$

 The dynamical (composite) component of the eigenstate is small if a CDD zero exists near the eigenstate pole.