Structure of the A(1405) and kaon-nucleon dynamics





Tetsuo Hyodo^a

Tokyo Institute of Technologya

supported by Global Center of Excellence Program "Nanoscience and Quantum Physics"



Contents

Contents



Introduction

Chiral dynamics

Description of S = -1, $\overline{K}N$ s-wave scattering : $\Lambda(1405)$ in I=0

- Interaction <-- chiral symmetry
 - Y. Tomozawa, Nuovo Cim. 46A, 707 (1966); S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 17, 616 (1966)

- Amplitude <-- unitarity (coupled channel)

R.H. Dalitz, T.C. Wong, G. Rajasekaran, PR153, 1617 (1967)



N. Kaiser, P. B. Siegel, W. Weise, Nucl. Phys. A594, 325 (1995), E. Oset, A. Ramos, Nucl. Phys. A635, 99 (1998), J. A. Oller, U. G. Meissner, Phys. Lett. B500, 263 (2001), M.F.M. Lutz, E. E. Kolomeitsev, Nucl. Phys. A700, 193 (2002), many others

works successfully, also in S=0 sector, meson-meson scattering sectors, systems including heavy quarks, ...

Chiral unitary approach

How it works? vs experimental data



T. Hyodo, S.I. Nam, D. Jido, A. Hosaka, Prog. Theor. Phys. 112, 73 (2004)

==> KN interaction in this framework

Chiral unitary approach

Two poles for one resonance

Poles of the amplitude in the complex plane : resonance



$$|\Lambda(1405)\rangle = a|\Lambda_1^*\rangle + b|\Lambda_2^*\rangle$$

D. Jido, J.A. Oller, E. Oset, A. Ramos, U.G. Meissner, Nucl. Phys. A 723, 205 (2003); T. Hyodo, W. Weise, Phys. Rev. C 77, 035204 (2008)

1360

Dynamical state and CDD pole

Resonances in two-body scattering

- Knowledge of interaction (potential)
- Experimental data (cross section, phase shift,...)

(a) dynamical state: molecule, quasi-bound, ...

+ + + ...

e.g.) Deuteron in NN, positronium in e^+e^- , (σ in π π), ... (b) CDD pole: elementary, independent, ...

L. Castillejo, R.H. Dalitz, F.J. Dyson, Phys. Rev. 101, 453 (1956)





Β

Μ

CDD pole contribution in chiral unitary approach

Amplitude in chiral unitary model



- V: interaction kernel (potential) $V^{-1} G$ G: loop integral (Green's function)

Known CDD pole contribution

- (1) Explicit resonance field in V
- (2) Contracted resonance propagator in V

Defining "natural renormalization scheme", we find CDD pole contribution in G (subtraction constant).

N(1535) in π N scattering --> dynamical + CDD pole $\Lambda(1405)$ in KN scattering --> mostly dynamical

T. Hyodo, D. Jido, A. Hosaka, Phys. Rev. C78, 025203



Nc scaling in the model

- Nc : number of color in QCD Hadron effective theory / quark structure
- The Nc behavior is known from the general argument. <-- introducing Nc dependence in the model, analyze the resonance properties with respect to Nc



- ~ non-qqq (i.e. dynamical) structure
- T. Hyodo, D. Jido, L. Roca, Phys. Rev. D77, 056010 (2008). L. Roca, T. Hyodo, D. Jido, Nucl. Phys. A809, 65 (2008).

Electromagnetic properties

Attaching photon to resonance --> em properties : rms, form factors,...



result of mean squared radii :

 $|\langle r^2 \rangle_{\rm E}| = 0.33 \; [\rm{fm}^2]$

large (em) size of the Λ(1405) : c.f. -0.12 [fm²] for neutron --> meson-baryon picture

T. Sekihara, T. Hyodo, D. Jido, Phys. Lett. B669, 133-138 (2008).

Structure of $\Lambda(1405)$ resonance **Summary 1 : Structure of** $\Lambda(1405)$ We study the structure of the $\Lambda(1405)$ Dynamical or CDD? => dominance of the MB components Analysis of Nc scaling => non-qqq structure **Electromagnetic properties** => large e.m. size

Structure of $\Lambda(1405)$ resonance Summary 1 : Structure of $\Lambda(1405)$ We study the structure of the $\Lambda(1405)$ Dynamical or CDD? => dominance of the MB components Analysis of Nc scaling => non-qqq structure Electromagnetic properties => large e.m. size Independent analyses consistently support the meson-baryon molecule В picture of the $\Lambda(1405)$ Μ



(Diagonal) scattering amplitude in $\overline{K}N$ and $\pi\Sigma$



Resonance in $\overline{K}N$ channel : at around 1420 MeV <-- consequence of strong $\pi\Sigma$ dynamics (coupled-channel)

Binding energy : B = 15 MeV <--> 30 MeV

Origin of the two-pole structure

Chiral interaction



Very strong attraction in $\overline{K}N$ (higher energy) --> bound state Strong attraction in $\pi\Sigma$ (lower energy) --> resonance

Two poles : natural consequence of chiral interaction (pole position is model dependent)

Schematic illustration : AY vs Chiral



Summary 1 : KN interaction

We study the consequence of chiral SU(3) dynamics in KN phenomenology.

Resonance structure in KN appears at around 1420 MeV <-- strong πΣ dynamics
Two attractive interactions in KN and πΣ
--> weaker effective KN interaction
--> two poles for the Λ(1405)

T. Hyodo and W. Weise, Phys. Rev. C 77, 035204 (2008)

Section to K-pp system (without πΣN)

Doté-san's talk



Which channel is relevant?



On the KNN (strange dibaryon) system

Λ^*N state in chiral dynamics

Chiral dynamics --> two Λ^* states : Λ^*_1 , Λ^*_2 $|\Lambda(1405)\rangle = a|\Lambda_1^*\rangle + b|\Lambda_2^*\rangle$

B=2 system : $\Lambda^{*}_{1}N$, $\Lambda^{*}_{2}N$ **?**

 $|B = 2, S = -1\rangle = a'|\Lambda_1^*N\rangle + b'|\Lambda_2^*N\rangle$



On the KNN (strange dibaryon) system Summary 2 : KNN system **KNN or strange dibaryon system** To compare with observed candidates, we should choose relevant channel(s). importance of $\pi\Sigma N$ channel? actual decay into AN channel --> change the spectrum? If there are two states for $\Lambda^*(1405)$, there could be two states in KNN-πΣN system Mixing of two Λ^* --> level repulsion?