Exotics in meson-baryon dynamics with chiral symmetry





Tetsuo Hyodo^a

RCNP, Osaka^a



Introduction : QCD at low energy

Quantum chromodynamics (QCD) : strong interaction of quarks and gluons

At low energies... Color confinement Chiral symmetry breaking

Mesons, baryons (Hadrons)

: elementary excitations of QCD vacuum

"exotics"

Introduction : Exotics

 $|B\rangle = |qqq\rangle + |qqq(q\bar{q})\rangle + \cdots$



meson-baryon molecule

$|P\rangle = |qqqq\bar{q}\rangle + |qqqq\bar{q}(q\bar{q})\rangle + \cdots$







two-meson cloud

Hadron structure +> meson-baryon dynamics

Introduction : Hadronic description and symmetries

- Hadronic description ++ quark description
 - Observed in nature
 - Interaction can be measured in experiments
- Guiding principle : symmetries of QCD
- Chiral symmetry -> low energy theorem $m_q \rightarrow 0$
- Flavor symmetry -> GMO formula

$$\Delta m_q \to 0$$

Symmetry

Contents



Introduction : $\Lambda(1405)$

$$\Lambda(1405):J^P=1/2^-, I=0$$

Mass : 1406.5 ± 4.0 MeV Width : 50 ± 2 MeV Decay mode : $\Lambda(1405) \rightarrow (\pi\Sigma)_{I=0}$ 100%

Quark model : p-wave, ~1600 MeV?

N. Isgur, and G. Karl, PRD 18, 4187 (1978)

Coupled channel multi-scattering

R.H. Dalitz, T.C. Wong and G. Rajasekaran PR 153, 1617 (1967)

Chiral unitary model

Flavor SU(3) meson-baryon scatterings (s-wave)



Scattering amplitude $J^P = 1/2^-$ resonances

- Theoretical foundation based on chiral symmetry
- Analytically solvable
 - -> information of the complex energy plane

Framework of the chiral unitary model : Interaction



8

Framework of the chiral unitary model : Unitarization

Unitarization

N/D method : general form of amplitude

$$T_{ij}^{-1}(\sqrt{s}) = \delta_{ij} \left(\tilde{a}_i(s_0) + \frac{s - s_0}{2\pi} \int_{s_i^+}^{\infty} ds' \frac{\rho_i(s')}{(s' - s)(s' - s_0)} \right) + \mathcal{T}_{ij}^{-1}$$

$$-G_i(\sqrt{s}) = -i \int \frac{d^4q}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{2M_i}{(P-q)^2 - M_i^2 + i\epsilon} \frac{1}{q^2 - m_i^2 + i\epsilon}$$

$T^{-1} = -G + (V^{(WT)})^{-1}$	physical masses
$T = V^{(WT)} + V^{(WT)}GT$	regularization of loop



Total cross sections of K⁻p scattering



Two poles?

If there is a sufficient attraction, resonances can be dynamically generated.



There are two poles of the scattering amplitude around nominal $\Lambda(1405)$ energy region.

- <u>Cloudy bag model</u>
- <u>Chiral unitary model</u>



 $\Lambda(1405)$ in the chiral unitary model

Real states? -> to be checked experimentally



Shape of πΣ spectrum depends on initial state

Production reaction for the $\Lambda(1405)$

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dM_I} = C|t_{\pi\Sigma\to\pi\Sigma}|^2 p_{CM} \implies \frac{d\sigma}{dM_I} = |\sum_i C_i t_{i\to\pi\Sigma}|^2 p_{CM}$$

In order to clarify the two-pole structure, we study two reactions.

$$\pi^- p \to K^0 \Lambda(1405) \to K^0 \pi \Sigma$$

Experimental result -> lower energy pole

T. Hyodo, Hosaka, Oset, Ramos, Vacas, PRC (2003), Section 5.2

$$\gamma p \to K^* \Lambda(1405) \to K^0 \pi^+ \pi \Sigma$$

• higher energy pole?

T. Hyodo, Hosaka, Vacas, Oset, PLB (2004), Section 5.3





Σ(1385) is included <- background estimation

Advantage of this reaction



 $\mathcal{L}_{K^*K\gamma} = g_{K^*K\gamma} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \partial_{\mu} A_{\nu} (\partial_{\alpha} K_{\beta}^{*-} K^+ + \partial_{\alpha} \bar{K}_{\beta}^{*0} K^0) + \text{h.c.}$ $\mathcal{L}_{VPP} = -\frac{ig_{VPP}}{\sqrt{2}} \text{Tr}(V^{\mu}[\partial_{\mu} P, P])$

- photon polarization —> K* polarization —> Kπ decay plane
- For 0+ meson emission, $\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$ is absent.

With polarized photon beam, the exchanged particle can be identified.

Clear mechanism

Isospin decomposition of final states

Since initial state is KN, no I=2 component.

$$\sigma(\pi^0 \Sigma^0) \propto \frac{1}{3} |T^{(0)}|^2$$



 π^{0},π^{+}

• Pure I=0 amplitude $< - \Lambda(1405)$

$$\sigma(\pi^0 \Lambda) \propto |T^{(1)}|^2$$

• Pure I=1 amplitude $<-\Sigma(1385)$

$$\sigma(\pi^{\pm}\Sigma^{\mp}) \propto \frac{1}{3} |T^{(0)}|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |T^{(1)}|^2 \pm \frac{2}{\sqrt{6}} \operatorname{Re}(T^{(0)}T^{(1)*})$$

Mixture of I=0 and I=1

Total cross sections



17



dominance of higher pole -> different shape

Isospin decomposition of charged $\pi\Sigma$ states

Charged πΣ states

 $\frac{d\sigma(\pi^{\pm}\Sigma^{\mp})}{dM_{I}} \propto \frac{1}{3} |T^{(0)}|^{2} + \frac{1}{2} |T^{(1)}|^{2} \pm \frac{2}{\sqrt{6}} \operatorname{Re}(T^{(0)}T^{(1)*})$

• Difference between charged states -> interference term of I=0 and 1

Taking average of two states, this term vanishes.

 $\frac{d\sigma(\pi^0 \Sigma^0)}{dM_I} \propto \frac{1}{3} |T^{(0)}|^2$

Difference from neutral channel : I=1, Σ(1385)

Invariant mass distributions 2



Conclusion for part I

We study the structure of $\Lambda(1405)$ using the chiral unitary model.

In the chiral unitary model, Λ(1405) is generated as a quasi-bound state in coupled channel meson-baryon scattering.

There are two poles of the scattering amplitude around nominal Λ(1405).
 Pole 1 (1426–16i) : strongly couples to KN state Pole 2 (1390–66i) : strongly couples to πΣ state

Conclusion for part I

We propose the $\gamma p \to K^* \Lambda(1405)$ reaction, which provides a different shape of spectrum from the nominal one. Observation of this feature give a support of the two-pole structure. We estimate the effect of $\Sigma(1385)$ in I=1 channel, which is found to be small for the $\pi\Sigma$ spectrum.

Properties of the Θ

LEPS, T. Nakano, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 012002 (2003)

 $|\Theta\rangle = |uudd\bar{s}\rangle$



S = +1, manifestly exotic

light mass 1540 MeV

 $M_{\Theta} \sim 4m_{ud} + m_s \sim 1700 \text{ MeV}$

narrow width < 15 MeV (1 MeV) $\Gamma_{B^*} \sim 100 \text{ MeV}$

Is it possible to describe the Θ by hadrons?

Hadronic description : Two-body molecule?

$$V_{WT} = 0$$
 for $I = 0, S = +1$

- —> no interaction for s-wave —> Chiral unitary model ×
- In order to have the narrow width, it must be in **d-wave or higher**.
- States in higher partial waves are expected to be heavy, and unnatural for the ground state.
- It is difficult to reproduce the Θ properties.

Three-body molecule



Only 30 MeV attraction can form the Θ .

Decay into KN requires the absorption of π —> p-wave excitation —> suppressed

Narrow and light state?

<u>P. Bicudo, *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C69, 011503 (2004)</u> <u>T. Kishimoto, *et al.*, hep-ex/0312003 F. J. Llanes-Estrada, *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C69, 055203 (2004)</u>

An attraction was found, but not strong enough to bind the system.

Two-meson cloud effect

Hosaka, Hyodo, Estrada, Oset, Peláez, Vacas, PRC (2005), Chap. 9.

- Evaluate self-energy around "core"
- Coupling constant <— antidecuplet + SU(3)



Two-meson coupling

N(1710) is assumed to be a partner of Θ . $\Theta^+ \to KN$ $N(1710) \to \pi N$ Very narrow 10-20 % $\Theta^+ \rightarrow K\pi N$ Forbidde $N(1710) \rightarrow \pi\pi N$ 40–90 % Forbidden Large??

Effective interactions which account for the $N(1710) \rightarrow \pi \pi N$ decay

SU(3) structure of effective Lagrangian



 $\mathbf{8}_M \otimes \mathbf{8}_M \otimes \mathbf{8}_B = (\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{8}^s \oplus \mathbf{8}^a \oplus \mathbf{10} \oplus \bar{\mathbf{10}} \oplus \mathbf{27})_{MM} \otimes \mathbf{8}_B$

 $= 8 \quad \leftarrow \text{ from } \mathbf{1}_{MM} \otimes \mathbf{8}_{B}$ $\oplus (\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{8} \oplus \mathbf{8} \oplus \mathbf{10} \oplus \mathbf{10} \oplus \mathbf{27}) \quad \leftarrow \text{ from } \mathbf{8}_{MM}^{s} \otimes \mathbf{8}_{B}$ $\oplus (\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{8} \oplus \mathbf{8} \oplus \mathbf{10} \oplus \mathbf{10} \oplus \mathbf{27}) \quad \leftarrow \text{ from } \mathbf{8}_{MM}^{a} \otimes \mathbf{8}_{B}$ $\oplus (\mathbf{8} \oplus \mathbf{10} \oplus \mathbf{27} \oplus \mathbf{35}) \quad \leftarrow \text{ from } \mathbf{10}_{MM} \otimes \mathbf{8}_{B}$ $\oplus (\mathbf{8} \oplus \mathbf{10} \oplus \mathbf{27} \oplus \mathbf{35'}) \quad \leftarrow \text{ from } \mathbf{10}_{MM} \otimes \mathbf{8}_{B}$ $\oplus (\mathbf{8} \oplus \mathbf{10} \oplus \mathbf{10} \oplus \mathbf{27} \oplus \mathbf{27} \oplus \mathbf{35} \oplus \mathbf{35''} \oplus \mathbf{64}) \quad \leftarrow \text{ from } \mathbf{27}_{MM} \otimes \mathbf{8}_{B}$

Construction of interaction Lagrangians

Ex.) Construction of 8s Lagrangian

$$D_{i}{}^{j}[\mathbf{8}_{MM}^{s}] = \phi_{i}{}^{a}\phi_{a}{}^{j} + \phi_{i}{}^{a}\phi_{a}{}^{j} - \frac{2}{3}\delta_{i}{}^{j}\phi_{a}{}^{b}\phi_{b}{}^{a}$$
$$= 2\phi_{i}{}^{a}\phi_{a}{}^{j} - \frac{2}{3}\delta_{i}{}^{j}\phi_{a}{}^{b}\phi_{b}{}^{a}$$

 $T^{ijk}[\mathbf{\bar{10}}_{BMM(8s)}] = 2\phi_l^a \phi_a^{\ i} B_m^{\ j} \epsilon^{lmk} + (i, j, k \text{ symmetrized})$

$$\mathcal{L}^{8s} = \frac{g^{8s}}{2f} \bar{P}_{ijk} \epsilon^{lmk} \phi_l{}^a \phi_a{}^i B_m{}^j + h.c.$$

Interaction Lagrangians

Terms without derivative

$$\mathcal{L}^{8s} = \frac{g^{8s}}{2f} \bar{P}_{ijk} \epsilon^{lmk} \phi_l{}^a \phi_a{}^i B_m{}^j + h.c. \qquad \textbf{8s}$$

 $\mathcal{L}^{8a} = 0$ $\mathcal{L}^{10} = 0$ $\mathcal{L}^{27} = \frac{g^{27}}{2f} \left[4\bar{P}_{ijk} \epsilon^{lbk} \phi_l{}^i \phi_a{}^j B_b{}^a - \frac{4}{5} \bar{P}_{ijk} \epsilon^{lbk} \phi_l{}^a \phi_a{}^j B_b{}^i \right] + h.c.$ **Experimental information** $N(1710) \to \pi\pi(s\text{-wave}, I = 0)N$ $N(1710) \to \pi\pi(p\text{-wave}, I = 1)N$

With one derivative

8a

$$\mathcal{L}^{8a} = i \frac{g^{a}}{4f^2} \bar{P}_{ijk} \epsilon^{lmk} \gamma^{\mu} (\partial_{\mu} \phi_l{}^a \phi_a{}^i - \phi_l{}^a \partial_{\mu} \phi_a{}^i) B_m{}^j + h.c.$$

Diagrams for self-energy

Imaginary part : decay width SU(3) breaking : masses of particles



$$-\int \frac{d^{4}k}{(2\pi)^{4}} \int \frac{d^{4}q}{(2\pi)^{4}} |t^{(j)}|^{2} \frac{1}{k^{2} - m_{1}^{2} + i\epsilon} \frac{1}{q^{2} - m_{2}^{2} + i\epsilon} \frac{M}{E} \frac{1}{p^{0} - k^{0} - q^{0} - E + i\epsilon}$$

$$= \int \frac{d^{3}k}{(2\pi)^{3}} \int \frac{d^{3}q}{(2\pi)^{3}} |t^{(j)}|^{2} \frac{1}{2\omega_{1}} \frac{1}{2\omega_{2}} \frac{M}{E} \frac{1}{p^{0} - \omega_{1} - \omega_{2} - E + i\epsilon}$$

$$\uparrow \text{vertex}$$

$$N(1710) \text{ decay} \implies g^{8s} = 1.88 , \quad g^{8a} = 0.315_{31}$$

Diagrams for self-energy

Real part : Principle value integral for mass shift.

$$I^{(j)}(p^0; B, m_1, m_2) = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} |t^{(j)}|^2 \frac{1}{2\omega_1} \frac{1}{2\omega_2} \frac{M}{E} \frac{1}{p^0 - \omega_1 - \omega_2 - E + i\epsilon}$$

divergent <-- cutoff

$$q_{max} = k_{max} = 700-800 \text{ MeV}$$

 $p^0 = energy of antidecuplet$

$$\Sigma_P^{(j)}(p^0) = \sum_{B,m_1,m_2} \left(F^{(j)} C_{P,B,m_1,m_2}^{(j)} \right) I^{(j)}(p^0; B, m_1, m_2) \left(F^{(j)} C_{P,B,m_1,m_2}^{(j)} \right)$$

 $\Sigma_{\Theta}^{8a}(p^{0}) = (F^{8a})^{2} \left[18I^{8a}(p^{0}; N, K, \pi) + 18I^{8a}(p^{0}; N, K, \eta)\right]$

Coupled channel summation

P	α	8s	8a	P	lpha	8s	8a	P	lpha	8s	8a
$\Theta_{\overline{10}}$	$NK\pi$	18	18	$N_{\overline{10}}$	$NK\bar{K}$	4	12	$\Sigma_{\overline{10}}$	$N\bar{K}\pi$	3	3
	$NK\eta$	2	18		$N\pi\pi$	3	6		$N\bar{K}\eta$	$\frac{1}{3}$	3
		I			$N\pi\eta$	2	-		$\Lambda K \bar{K}$	3	3
					$N\eta\eta$	1	-		$\wedge \pi \eta$	2	-
					$\Lambda K\pi$	$\frac{9}{2}$	$\frac{9}{2}$		$\wedge \pi \pi$	-	6
D		Q ₀	9 a		$\Lambda K\eta$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{9}{2}$		$\Sigma K \bar{K}$	3	11
<i>P</i> _	α	0	<u>8a</u>		$\Sigma K\pi$	$\frac{2}{9}$	$\frac{2}{9}$		Σπ π	3	4
<u>–</u> 10	$\Sigma \bar{\kappa} \pi$	9	9		ΣKn	$\frac{2}{\frac{1}{2}}$	$\frac{2}{9}$		Σπ η	$\frac{4}{3}$	-
	$\Sigma K \eta$	1	9			2	2		Ση η	1	-
	ΞKK	6	6						$\Xi K \pi$	3	3
	$\Xi \pi \eta$	4	-						$=_{Kn}$	1	3
	$\Xi \pi \pi$	-	12						<u> </u>	3	0

Results of self-energy : Real part (mass shift)



All mass shifts are attractive (~100 MeV for Θ).

Mass splitting is nearly equal spacing.

Mass difference between Ξ and Θ -> 60 MeV : ~20% of 320 = 1860–1540 MeV

All mass shifts are attractive.

- -> Existence of attraction is consistent with previous attempts.
- Mass splitting is nearly equal spacing.
 - -> Deviation from GMO formula due to two-meson cloud is not very large.
- Two-meson cloud also provides substantial effect for N and Σ .
 - -> It originates in the large coupling of the N(1710) to the three-body channels.

Conclusion for part II

We study the two-meson virtual cloud effect to the self-energy of baryon antidecuplet.

Two types of interaction Lagrangians (8s, 8a) are derived in order to estimate the two-meson cloud.

$$\mathcal{L}^{8s} = \frac{g^{8s}}{2f} \bar{P}_{ijk} \epsilon^{lmk} \phi_l{}^a \phi_a{}^i B_m{}^j + h.c.$$
$$\mathcal{L}^{8a} = i \frac{g^{8a}}{4f^2} \bar{P}_{ijk} \epsilon^{lmk} \gamma^\mu (\partial_\mu \phi_l{}^a \phi_a{}^i - \phi_l{}^a \partial_\mu \phi_a{}^i) B_m{}^j + h.c.$$

Conclusion for part II

Two-meson cloud effects are always attractive, of the order of 100 MeV for the Θ. It plays an important role to understand the nature of the Θ.

Mass difference shows nearly equal spacing, and two-meson cloud provides 20% of the empirical one.

Summary

We study the "exotics" in hadron dynamics based on chiral and flavor symmetries. Chiral unitary model provides a good description of the $\Lambda(1405)$ as mesonbaryon molecule. \checkmark The two-pole structure of the $\Lambda(1405)$ can be tested experimentally. The two-meson cloud provides ~100 **MeV attraction for the \Theta and about** 20% of the mass splitting for 10.

Summary

There are appreciable meson cloud (higher Fock components) effects in baryons and pentaguarks due to meson-baryon dynamics, which complement the valence quarks. It is important to test the hadron properties experimentally. Symmetries provide a way to study hadrons systematically.





Appendix : ChPT Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}^{(1)} = \operatorname{Tr}\left(\bar{B}(i\mathcal{D} - M_0)B - D(\bar{B}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_5\{A_{\mu}, B\}) - F(\bar{B}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_5[A_{\mu}, B])\right)$$
$$\mathcal{D}_{\mu}B = \partial_{\mu}B + i[\underline{V}_{\mu}, B]$$

$$\xi(\Phi) = \exp\{i\Phi/\sqrt{2}f\}$$

 $D + F = g_A$





$$B = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Sigma^{0} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \Lambda & \Sigma^{+} & p \\ \Sigma^{-} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Sigma^{0} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \Lambda & n \\ \Xi^{-} & \Xi^{0} & -\frac{2}{\sqrt{6}} \Lambda \end{pmatrix} \Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \pi^{0} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \eta & \pi^{+} & K^{+} \\ \pi^{-} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \pi^{0} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \eta & K^{0} \\ K^{-} & \bar{K}^{0} & -\frac{2}{\sqrt{6}} \eta . \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\underline{V_{\mu}} = -\frac{i}{2}(\xi^{\dagger}\partial_{\mu}\xi + \xi\partial_{\mu}\xi^{\dagger}) = \frac{i}{4f^2}(\underline{\Phi}\partial_{\mu}\Phi - \partial_{\mu}\Phi\Phi) + \cdots$$

$$\underline{A_{\mu}} = -\frac{i}{2}(\xi^{\dagger}\partial_{\mu}\xi - \xi\partial_{\mu}\xi^{\dagger}) = -\frac{1}{f}\underline{\partial_{\mu}\Phi} + \cdots$$
⁴¹

Two-pole structure

Are they real states? —> need to check experimentally

• Experimental results available so far:

 $\frac{\text{Thomas et al., (1973)}}{\pi^{-}p \to K^{0}\Lambda(1405) \to K^{0}\pi\Sigma}$ $\frac{\text{Hemingway et al., (1984)}}{\Sigma^{*}(1660)^{+} \to \pi^{+}\Lambda(1405) \to \pi^{+}\pi\Sigma}$

 PDG : analysis based on the Hemingway data assuming one state

Trajectories of the poles with SU(3) breaking (S = -1)



D. Jido, et al., Nucl. Phys. A 723, 205 (2003)

Application to the reaction



V.K. Magas, et al., hep-ph/0503043

Improvement of mass distributions



$P_{lab} = 1.66 \text{ GeV/c}$ $P_{lab} = 1.55 \text{ GeV/c}$

- Consistency between amplitude and phase space
- Σ(1385) contribution
- Approximation for loop

Controversial?



Inclusion of O(p2) terms : one pole moves far away from the real axis

I=1, s-wave amplitude







Pentaquarks : Importance

QCD does not forbid it. **Rather, existence was implied; Exotics <- Duality in scattering theory** Heavy pentaquark <- large Nc and heavy M_o **Test for inter-quark correlation** meson (qq) ∋ only qq baryon (qqq) \ni only qq(color $\overline{3}$) pentaquark (qqqq \overline{q}) \ni qq(color 6), ... multi-quark states, quark matter, ...

Properties of the Θ

$$|\Theta
angle = |uuddar{s}
angle$$

light mass 1540 MeV

$$M_{\Theta} \sim 4m_{ud} + m_s \sim 1700 \text{ MeV}$$

 $M_K + M_N \sim 1430 \text{ MeV} < - q\overline{q}$ correlation

narrow width < 15 MeV (1 MeV)

$$\Gamma_{B^*} \sim 100 \text{ MeV}$$

Possibility of $\Theta^+ \sim K\pi N$ bound state

<u>P. Bicudo, et al., Phys. Rev. C69, 011503 (2004)</u> <u>T. Kishimoto, et al., hep-ex/0312003</u> <u>F. J. Llanes-Estrada, et al., Phys. Rev. C69, 055203 (2004)</u>

Anomalies in production experiments $\pi^{-}p \rightarrow K^{-}\Theta^{+}$ at KEK $\gamma d \rightarrow \Lambda^{*}\Theta^{+}$ at SPring-8



Criteria to construct the Lagrangian

- Interaction is flavor SU(3) symmetric Chiral symmetric? -> later
- Small number of derivatives low energy : OK
- Assumptions for Θ^+
 - N(1710) is the S=0 partner of antidecuplet $-> J^P = 1/2^+$
 - No mixing with 8, 27,... <- decay width
 - <u>T.D. Cohen, Phys. Rev. D70, 074023 (2004)</u> <u>S. Pakvasa and M. Suzuki, Phys. Rev. D70, 036002 (2004)</u> <u>T. H. and A. Hosaka, Phys. Rev. D71, 054017 (2005)</u>

Two-meson cloud effect

How much the three-body components in Θ ?

<u>A. Hosaka, T. H., et al., Phys. Rev. C71, 045205 (2005),</u> Section 9.

Let us assume Θ belongs to the antidecuplet, and evaluate the self-enrgy coming from the two-meson cloud.

$$M_{\Theta} = M_0 + \operatorname{Re}\Sigma_{\Theta}$$

$$M_N = M_0 + \Delta - \operatorname{Re}\Sigma_N$$

$$M_{\Sigma} = M_0 + 2\Delta - \operatorname{Re}\Sigma_{\Sigma}$$

$$M_{\Xi_{3/2}} = M_0 + 3\Delta - \operatorname{Re}\Sigma_{\Xi_{3/2}}$$
Two-meson cloud

Interaction Lagrangians 1

Antidecuplet field

$$P^{333} = \sqrt{6}\Theta_{10}^{+}$$

$$P^{133} = \sqrt{2}N_{10}^{0} \qquad P^{233} = -\sqrt{2}N_{10}^{+}$$

$$P^{113} = \sqrt{2}\Sigma_{10}^{-} \qquad P^{123} = -\Sigma_{10}^{0} \qquad P^{223} = -\sqrt{2}\Sigma_{10}^{+}$$

$$P^{111} = \sqrt{6}\Xi_{10}^{--} \qquad P^{112} = -\sqrt{2}\Xi_{10}^{-} \qquad P^{122} = \sqrt{2}\Xi_{10}^{0} \qquad P^{222} = -\sqrt{6}\Xi_{10}^{+}$$

Meson and baryon fields

$$\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\pi^{0} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\eta & \pi^{+} & K^{+} \\ \pi^{-} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\pi^{0} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\eta & K^{0} \\ K^{-} & \bar{K}^{0} & -\frac{2}{\sqrt{6}}\eta \end{pmatrix}$$
$$B = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Sigma^{0} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\Lambda & \Sigma^{+} & p \\ \Sigma^{-} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Sigma^{0} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\Lambda & n \\ \Xi^{-} & \Xi^{0} & -\frac{2}{\sqrt{6}}\Lambda \end{pmatrix}$$

Other possible Lagrangians : detail

$$\mathcal{L}^{8s} = \frac{g^{8s}}{2f} \bar{P}_{ijk} \epsilon^{lmk} \phi_l{}^a \phi_a{}^i B_m{}^j + h.c.$$

Two-meson 27 interaction

$$\mathcal{L}^{27} = \frac{g^{27}}{2f} \left[4\bar{P}_{ijk} \epsilon^{lbk} \phi_l{}^i \phi_a{}^j B_b{}^a - \frac{4}{5} \bar{P}_{ijk} \epsilon^{lbk} \phi_l{}^a \phi_a{}^j B_b{}^i \right] + h.c.$$

Chiral symmetric interaction

$$\mathcal{L}^{\chi} = \frac{g^{\chi}}{2f} \bar{P}_{ijk} \epsilon^{lmk} (A_{\mu})_{l}{}^{a} (A^{\mu})_{a}{}^{i} B_{m}{}^{j} + h.c.$$

$$A_{\mu} = \frac{i}{2} (\xi^{\dagger} \partial_{\mu} \xi - \xi \partial_{\mu} \xi^{\dagger}) = -\frac{\partial_{\mu} \phi}{\sqrt{2}f} + \mathcal{O}(p^{3}) \qquad \xi = e^{i\phi/\sqrt{2}f}$$

$$(A_{\mu})_{l}{}^{a} (A^{\mu})_{a}{}^{i} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2f^{2}} \partial_{\mu} \phi_{l}{}^{a} \partial^{\mu} \phi_{a}{}^{i}$$
SU(3) breaking interaction $M = \text{diag}(\hat{m}, \hat{m}, m_{s})$

$$\mathcal{L}^{M} = \frac{g^{M}}{2f} \bar{P}_{ijk} \epsilon^{lmk} S_{l}{}^{i} B_{m}{}^{j}$$

$$S = \xi M \xi + \xi^{\dagger} M \xi^{\dagger} = \mathcal{O}(\phi^{0}) - \frac{1}{2f^{2}} (2\phi M \phi + \phi\phi M + M\phi\phi) + \mathcal{O}(\phi^{4})$$

Chiral symmetric Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}^{8s} = \frac{g^{8s}}{2f} \bar{P}_{ijk} \epsilon^{lmk} \phi_l{}^a \phi_a{}^i B_m{}^j + h.c.$$

$$\mathcal{L}^{\chi(2)} = \frac{g^{\chi}}{2f} \bar{P}_{ijk} \epsilon^{lmk} \frac{1}{2f^2} \partial_{\mu} \phi_l{}^a \partial^{\mu} \phi_a{}^i B_m{}^j + h.c.$$

SU(3) structure : Identical !

Only loop integral is changed <- adjusting the cutoff, we would have the same results

N(1710) decay -> $g^{\chi} = 0.218$

Results of chiral Lagrangian



Almost the same results

Difference comes from the SU(3) breaking of momenta at the vertex

27 and mass Lagrangians

$$\mathcal{L}^{27} = \frac{g^{27}}{2f} \left[4\bar{P}_{ijk} \epsilon^{lbk} \phi_l{}^i \phi_a{}^j B_b{}^a - \frac{4}{5} \bar{P}_{ijk} \epsilon^{lbk} \phi_l{}^a \phi_a{}^j B_b{}^i \right] + h.c.$$

$$\mathcal{L}^{M} = \frac{g^{M}}{2f} \bar{P}_{ijk} \epsilon^{lmk} \left(-\frac{1}{2f^{2}}\right) \left(2\phi M\phi + \phi\phi M + M\phi\phi\right)_{l}{}^{i}B_{m}{}^{j} + h.c.$$

Fitting couplings to the N(1710) decay -> large binding energy of 1 GeV : unrealistic

Treat them as a small perturbation to the 8s.

$$g^{27} = g^M = g^{8s} = 1.88$$
, $b_{27} = -\frac{5}{4}(1-a)$, $b_M = \frac{f^2}{m_\pi^2}(1-a)$
 $\mathcal{L}^{int} = a\mathcal{L}^{8s} + b_{27,M}\mathcal{L}^{27,M}$

Deviation from a = 1 : weight of new terms

Results of 27 and mass Lagrangians



Contributions of these terms are considered as a theoretical uncertainty in the analysis.