ペンタクォーク研究の 最近の動向





兵藤 哲雄

RCNP, Osaka

2004, June 10th

Contents

☆ 実験のまとめ



☆ クォークモデル



☆ ハドロン3体系 (7-quark)



 \checkmark Production $K^+p \rightarrow \pi^+K^+n$

Contents · 改



Experiment at SPring-8

LEPS, T. Nakano, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 012002 (2003)



Summary of experiments

group	reaction	mass[MeV]	width
LEPS	γ n -> K + K -(n)	1540 ± 10	< 25
DIANA	K+Xe -> K ⁰ pXe'	1539 ± 2	< 9
CLAS	$\gamma d \rightarrow K^{+}K^{-}p(n)$	1542 ± 5	< 21
SAPHIR	γ p -> K⁺K⁰(n)	1540 ± 6	< 25
ITEP	∨A -> K⁰pX	1533 ± 5	< 20
CLAS	$\gamma p \to \pi^+ K^- K^+(n)$	1555 ± 10	< 26
HERMES	e ⁺(27.6GeV) d -> K⁰pX	1528 ± 3	13 ± 9
SVD	p (70GeV) A -> K⁰pX	1526 ± 3	< 24
BES	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\Psi \rightarrow KN\overline{K}\overline{N}$	-	-
COSY	pp -> K ⁰ p Σ ⁺	1530 ± 5	< 18
HERA-B	p (920GeV) A -> K⁰pX	-	-
JINR	p (10GeV) A -> K⁰pX	1545 ± 12	16 ± 4
ZEUS	e ⁺ p (cm300GeV) -> e'K⁰pX	1522 ± 3	8 ± 4
JINR	np -> npK⁺K⁻	??	??

Old experiments

実験データ(KN散乱)

R.A. Arndt, Phys. Rev. D31, 2230 (1985) J.S. Hyslop, Phys. Rev. D46, 961 (1992)

Z* resonances

mass[MeV]	width[MeV]	wave
1788	340	D03
1811	236	P13
1831	190	P01
2074	503	D15

参考: <u>B.K. Jennings, Phys. Rev. D69, 094020 (2004)</u>

Analyses of data 1

過去のデータの再解析1:幅について

S. Nussinov, hep-ph/0307357

断面積の評価、 **Г < 6 MeV**

<u>R. A. Arndt, *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C68, 042201 (2003),</u> <u>Erratum, *ibid*. C69, 019901 (2004)</u> KN散乱解析、Г < few MeV

<u>J. Haidenbauer, et al., Phys. Rev. C68, 052201 (2003)</u> 部分波解析、Γ < 5 MeV

R.N. Cahn, et al., Phys. Rev. C69, 011501 (2004)

 $\Gamma < 1-4$ MeV (K+d data), $\Gamma = 0.9 \pm 0.3$ MeV (DIANA)

<u>A. Sibirtsev, *et al.*, hep-ph/0405099</u> K+d -> K^opp、 Γ < 1 MeV

Analyses of data 2

過去のデータの再解析2:Θの存在?

N.G. Kelkar, et al., J. Phys. G29, 1001 (2003)

Speed plot, Time delay

N.G. Kelkar, et al., hep-ph/0405008

Speed plot, Time delay

M=1545 MeV for P01, M=1600 MeV for D03

M=1600 MeV for P03

W.R. Gibbs, nucl-th/0405024

K⁺d total cross section

 $1/2+: M = 1559 \pm 3 \text{ MeV}, \Gamma = 0.9 \pm 0.2 \text{ MeV}$

1/2-: M = 1547 ± 2 MeV , Γ = 0.9 ± 0.2 MeV

Artifact?

A. R. Dzierba, et al., Phys. Rev. C 68, 052201 (2003)

M. Zavertyaev, hep-ph/0311250

kinematic reflections of $f_2(1275)$, $a_2(1320)$

Other pentaquarks

Ξ⁻⁻(ddssū)

NA49, C. Alt, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 042003 (2004)

 $M = 1862 \pm 2 MeV, \Gamma = 18 MeV$

negative results

H.G. Fischer, et al., hep-ex/0401014

HERA-B, K.T. Knopfle, et al., hep-ex/0403020

WA89, M.I. Adamovich, et al., hep-ex/0405042

 Θ_{c} (uudd \overline{c})

H1, A. Aktas, et al., Phys. Lett. B 588, 17-28 (2004)

 $M = 3099 \pm 3 \text{ MeV}, \Gamma = 12 \pm 3 \text{ MeV}$

Diakonov et al.について

Diakonov et al., prediction



D. Diakonov, et al., Z. Phys. A 359, 305 (1997)

Diakonov et al.について

Criticism & Discussion

理論的側面 (rigid rotator, large Nc,...)

T.D. Cohen, Phys. Lett. B 581, 175-181 (2004)

D. Diakonov, et al., Phys. Rev. D 69, 056002 (2004)

N. Itzhaki, et al., Nucl. Phys. B 684, 264 (2004)

P. Pobylitsa, Phys. Rev. D 69, 074029 (2004)

M. Praszalowicz, Phys. Lett. B 583, 96 (2004)

現象論的側面 (πN Sigma term,...)

P. Schweitzer, hep-ph/0312376

J. Ellis, et al., JHEP 0405, 002 (2004)

崩壊幅の計算について?

H. Weigel, Eur. Phys. J. A2, 391 (1998) $\Gamma \sim 40 \text{ MeV}$!R.L. Jaffe, hep-ph/0401187 $\Gamma < 30 \text{ MeV}$!

D. Diakonov, et al., hep-ph/0404212

<u>R.L. Jaffe, hep-ph/0405268</u>

Γ < 30 MeV ! Γ < 15 MeV !!

Γ < 30 MeV !!!

Diakonov et al.について

Diakonov 以前の研究

クォーク模型

<u>E. Golowich, Phys. Rev. D4, 262 (1971)</u> Z(1700), 1/2+

D. Strottman, Phys. Rev. D20, 748 (1979)

Z(1650), 1/2-

H.J. Lipkin, Phys. Lett. B195, 484 (1987)

suudē

スキルム模型

A.V. Manohar, Nucl. Phys. B248, 19 (1984) M. Chemtob, Nucl. Phys. B256, 600 (1985) J=1/2, 10 M. Preszelowicz, Skyrmions and Anomalias, World Science 10, 10

<u>M. Praszalowicz, *Skyrmions and Anomalies*, World Scientific (1987)</u> J=1/2, I=0, Y=2, 10 : 1540 MeV



QCD sum rule

S.L. Zhu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 232002 (2003) $I=0,1,2 \Theta(uudds) \sim 1550 \pm 150$ R.D. Matheus, et al., Phys. Lett. B 578, 323-329 (2004) $\Theta(uudd\bar{s}) \sim 1550 \pm 100$, N(uudd \bar{u}) ~ 1440 J. Sugiyama, et al., Phys. Lett. B 581, 167-174 (2004) Parity projection $J^{P} = 1/2^{-1}$ Eidemuller, hep-ph/0404126 $\Theta(uudd\bar{s}) \sim 1540$, N(uudd \bar{u}) ~ 1440 Hungchong Kim, et al., hep-ph/0404170 $J^{P} = 1/2^{+}$ $\Theta_{c}(uudd\bar{c})$ <u>Y. Kondo. et al., hep-ph/0404285</u> Two-hadron-irreducible sum rule $J^{P} = 1/2^{+}$ QCD

Lattice QCD

- F. Csikor, et al., JHEP 0311, 070 (2003) quench, Wilson fermion Θ $J^{P} = 1/2^{-1}$ S. Sasaki, hep-lat/0310014 quench, Wilson fermion Θ , Θ > DN threshold $J^{P} = 1/2^{-1}$ Ting-Wai Chiu, et al., hep-ph/0403020, hep-ph/0404007 quench, Domain-wall fermion (chiral OK) $N_{5} \sim 1460, \Theta \sim 1539, \Xi_{5} \sim 1826, \Theta_{c} \sim 3180$
 - $J^{P} = 1/2^{+}$

その他、最近の研究

その他、最近の研究

Super-Radiance

<u>N. Auerbach, et al., Phys. Lett. B590, 45-50 (2004)</u>

V. Zelevinsky, et al., hep-ph/0406019

Diamond structure(non-planer)

Xing-Chang Song, et al., hep-ph/0403093

AMD

Y. Kanada-En'yo, et al., hep-ph/0404144

bound state approach with HLS

Byung-Yoon Park, et al., hep-ph/0405246

AdS/CFT

<u>M. Bando, et al., hep-ph/0405259</u>

Motivation : Advantage of hadronic process

We propose

$$K^+p
ightarrow \pi^+\Theta^+
ightarrow \pi^+K^+n(K^0p)$$

 Low energy model is sufficient (p_{cm} ~ 350 MeV)
 Decay is considered -> background estimation -> Width independent

Hadronic process : clear mechanism

to extract a qualitative behavior which depends on the quantum numbers of Θ^+ .

Determination of quantum numbers

Chiral model for the reaction: Background

E. Oset and M. J. Vicente Vacas, PLB386, 39 (1996)

Vertices <- chiral Lagrangian



Chiral model for the reaction: Resonance term



Spin and parity : Resonance amplitude

Resonance term for $K^+p \rightarrow \pi^+K^+n$

$$-i\tilde{t}_{i}^{(s)} = \frac{g_{K^{+}n}^{2}}{M_{I} - M_{R} + i\Gamma/2} \left\{ G(M_{I})(a_{i} + c_{i}) - \frac{1}{3}\bar{G}(M_{I})b_{i} \right\} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{k}_{in}S_{I}(i) ,$$

$$-i\tilde{t}_{i}^{(p,1/2)} = \frac{\bar{g}_{K^{+}n}^{2}}{M_{I} - M_{R} + i\Gamma/2} \bar{G}(M_{I}) \left\{ \frac{1}{3}b_{i}\boldsymbol{k}_{in}^{2} - a_{i} + d_{i} \right\} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{q}'S_{I}(i) ,$$

$$-i\tilde{t}_{i}^{(p,3/2)} = \frac{\tilde{g}_{K^{+}n}^{2}}{M_{I} - M_{R} + i\Gamma/2} \bar{G}(M_{I}) \frac{1}{3}b_{i} \left\{ (\boldsymbol{k}_{in} \cdot \boldsymbol{q}')(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{k}_{in}) - \frac{1}{3}\boldsymbol{k}_{in}^{2}\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{q}' \right\} S_{I}(i) .$$



Production $K^+p \rightarrow \pi^+K^+n$ Numerical results : Polarization test



Same result is obtained for final pK⁰

Numerical results : Angular dependence 2



Numerical results : Mass distributions 2



22

Conclusion

We calculate the $K^+p \rightarrow \pi^+K^+n$ reaction using a chiral model, assuming possible quantum numbers of Θ^+ baryon.

If we find the resonance in the polarization test, the quantum numbers of Θ⁺ can be determined as I=0, J^P=1/2⁺

<u>T. Hyodo, *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B579, 290-298 (2004)</u> <u>E. Oset, *et al.*, nucl-th/0312014, Hyp03 proceedings</u>

問題点と展望



0 momentum π <- 実験では不可能
 polarization of final N <- nは不可能

展望

- finite momentum πの計算(進行中)
 Bohrの定理?
 - In parity conserving process on one plane,

$$P_{i}e^{i\pi S_{i\mathbf{n}}} = P_{f}e^{i\pi S_{f\mathbf{n}}}$$
$$\Delta S_{\mathbf{n}} = \begin{pmatrix} \text{even} \\ \text{odd} \end{pmatrix} \text{ for } P_{f} = \pm P_{i}$$

