Pattern formation of skin cancers: Effects of cancer proliferation and hydrodynamic interactions
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We study pattern formation of skin cancers by means of numerical simulation of a binary system consisting of cancer and healthy cells. We extend the conventional model H for macrophase separations by considering a logistic growth of cancer cells and also a mechanical friction between dermis and epidermis. Importantly, our model exhibits a microphase separation due to the proliferation of cancer cells. By numerically solving the time evolution equations of the cancer composition and its velocity, we show that the phase separation kinetics strongly depends on the cell proliferation rate as well as on the strength of hydrodynamic interactions. A steady-state diagram of cancer patterns is established in terms of these two dynamical parameters and some of the patterns correspond to clinically observed cancer patterns. Furthermore, we examine in detail the time evolution of the average composition of cancer cells and the characteristic length of the microstructures. Our results demonstrate that different sequence of cancer patterns can be obtained by changing the proliferation rate and/or hydrodynamic interactions.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Tissue morphogenesis is a process in which multicellular organisms are dynamically formed in a coherent manner [1]. Several deterministic and stochastic models to describe tissue regeneration using such as stem cells have been proposed from a theoretical point of view [2,3]. Recently, various analogies between viscoelastic fluids and biological tissues have been pointed out to investigate mechanical response of a biological tissue to an applied force [4–7]. Needless to say, studies on tumor dynamics are directly connected with medical diagnosis and there have been many attempts to understand cancer behaviors across multiple biological scales [8–11]. Although some correlations between cancer patterns and their malignancies are realized, it is not well-understood why and how such malignant patterns appear in tissues. For example, a skin cancer called melanoma often exhibits characteristic surface patterns which are diagnosed by medical doctors [12]. However, fundamental mechanisms that underlie such a pattern formation need to be further investigated.
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Recently, some dynamical studies on skin lesions have been performed to discuss the morphological changes in early melanoma development by using a phase separation model [13–16]. Among these works, Chatelain et al. investigated a binary system composed of cancer and healthy cells. They demonstrated that not only the cell-cell adhesion but also the coupling to the diffusion of nutrients (oxygen) leads to the microstructure (e.g., “dots” and “nests”) formation in the early stage melanoma [13–15]. These microstructures are analogous to those in block copolymer systems [17]. In the model by Chatelain et al., the domain coarsening takes place due to diffusion process whereas hydrodynamic interactions are not considered. Hence, their model can be regarded as an extension of “model B” [18–20] to take into account the formation of microstructures. For bacterial colonies without hydrodynamic interactions, an arrested phase separation was explained only by considering a local density-dependent motility and the birth/death of bacteria [21].

In general, a biological tissue can be regarded as a viscoelastic material because it responds like a solid with finite elasticity at short time scales and behaves like a fluid with an effective viscosity at long time scales [4–7]. Since the “differential adhesion hypothesis” was proposed by Steinberg
[22,23], the similarities between tissues and liquids have been recognized for a long time. For example, by using particle tracking velocimetry in gastrulating Drosophila embryos, it was shown that cytoplasmic redistribution during ventral furrow formation is described by the presence of hydrodynamic flows [24]. In a recent study of tissue dynamics of a stratified epithelium, it was shown that a steady hydrodynamic flow of stratified epithelium is controlled by the cell proliferation rate [25,26]. Although these works highlight the importance of liquid flows in the tissue dynamics, the effects of hydrodynamic interactions on the skin cancer dynamics have not been considered so far.

For ordinary fluid mixtures, however, it is well-known that hydrodynamic interactions play crucial roles in their phase separation dynamics. This is because the convection of the composition field kinetically enhances the phase separation in the presence of flows. The standard model that takes into account the hydrodynamic effects is called “model H” that has been extensively studied in the literature [18–20]. For ordinary 3D fluid mixtures, model H predicts that the domain size increases linearly with time [27,28]. This is much faster than the Brownian coagulation process [29] or the Lifshitz-Slyozov evaporation-condensation process [30].

In this paper, we study the pattern formation of skin cancers by means of numerical simulation of a binary system composed of cancer and healthy cells. Our main focus is to investigate the effects of cancer proliferation and hydrodynamic interactions on the phase separation kinetics. For this purpose, we shall extend the conventional model H by incorporating a logistic growth of cancer cells and a mechanical friction between dermis and epidermis. Similar to chemically reactive binary fluid mixtures [31,32] or block copolymer melts [33–35], our model also exhibits a microphase separation due to the proliferation of cancer cells.

Performing numerical simulations of the time evolution of the cancer cell composition and the velocity field, we show that the phase separation dynamics is strongly affected by the cell proliferation rate as well as by the strength of hydrodynamic interactions. We shall examine in detail how the average composition of cancer cells and the characteristic size of microstructures depend on these dynamical parameters. Our results also demonstrate that different sequence of cancer patterns can be obtained by changing the cancer proliferation rate and/or the hydrodynamic effects. Furthermore, our model can reproduce some of the clinically observed microstructures in melanoma.

In the next section, we discuss the dynamical equations of a binary cell system in the presence of hydrodynamic interactions. In Sec. III, we present our simulation results for different proliferation rates and friction coefficients, and summarize them in terms of a steady-state diagram as a function of these parameters. For qualitative arguments, we further perform structure analysis of the obtained patterns and give a scaling argument for the observed microphase separation. In Sec. IV, we discuss the mechanisms for pattern formation in the early and late stages by using the amplitude equations method and the sharp interface model, respectively. Finally, the summary of our work and some discussions are given in Sec. V.

\[ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0, \quad (1) \]

where we have introduced the local average velocity,

\[ \mathbf{v} = \phi \mathbf{v}_\phi + \psi \mathbf{v}_\psi, \quad (2) \]

which is weighted by the respective area fractions.

To take into account the proliferation of cancer cells and the death of healthy cells simultaneously, we consider the following continuity equations that are consistent with the above incompressibility condition:

\[ \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\phi \mathbf{v}_\phi) = \Gamma(\phi), \quad (3) \]

\[ \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\psi \mathbf{v}_\psi) = -\Gamma(\phi), \quad (4) \]
where the function $\Gamma(\phi)$ represents the composition-dependent cancer proliferation rate of epidermal cells. Among various possibilities, we choose here the following logistic growth function:

$$\Gamma(\phi) = \gamma \phi \left(1 - \frac{\phi}{\phi_\infty}\right),$$

(5)

where the coefficient $\gamma > 0$ is the cancer proliferation rate in the epidermal layer. Such a logistic growth was considered before to describe the effects of birth and death in bacterial colonies [21]. Starting from an initial average composition, $\phi_0$, the cancer cell composition evolves toward a higher composition, $\phi_\infty$, whose value is roughly given by $\phi_\infty \approx 0.6-0.8$ depending on the cancer cell type [36]. Since the function $\Gamma(\phi)$ is positive, cancer cells proliferate during the phase separation while healthy cells die out due to the invasion of increased cancer cells, as described by Eq. (4). Since the time-evolution of healthy cells is simply given by $\psi(\mathbf{r}, t) = 1 - \phi(\mathbf{r}, t)$ due to the saturation condition, we shall only consider Eq. (3) in the following discussion.

We note here that the above introduced functional form of the proliferation rate, $\Gamma(\phi)$, is analogous to that considered in the previous model [13–16] in which they also included the diffusion of nutrient concentration. One can easily show that the form of Eq. (5) can be obtained by simply assuming that the nutrient concentration decreases linearly with the cancer composition $\phi$. For the purpose of clarifying the effects of cancer proliferation and hydrodynamic interactions, it is sufficient to consider the above sigmoidal growth without introducing any additional field variable.

It should be mentioned that the above logistic growth of cancer cells can also originate from the mechanical coupling between the net cell division rates and pressure [4]. In general, the cell division rates depend on mechanical pressure [37–40] and are characterized by the homeostatic pressure, i.e., the pressure for which cell division and apoptosis balance and no net growth occurs. Near the homeostatic state, we are allowed to expand both the pressure and the net cell division rate to linear order in density difference around the homeostatic density [4]. Such an effect also leads to the growth term in Eq. (5).

## B. Dynamical equations

Next we consider the time evolution equations for $\phi$ and $\mathbf{v}$. By extending the standard model H for phase separations with hydrodynamic interactions [18–20], the dynamical equations that are consistent with Eq. (3) can be given by

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot (\phi \mathbf{v}) + L \nabla^2 \mu + \Gamma(\phi),$$

(6)

$$\rho \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} = \eta \nabla^2 \mathbf{v} - \nabla p + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{\Sigma} - \zeta \mathbf{v},$$

(7)

together with the incompressibility condition in Eq. (1). In the above equations, $L$ is the transport coefficient, $\mu$ is the chemical potential, $\rho$ is the mass density, $\eta$ is the viscosity, $\rho$ is the 2D pressure, $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ is the stress tensor due to the composition gradient, and $\zeta$ is the friction coefficient. For simplicity, we assume that both $\rho$ and $\eta$ are constants and do not depend on $\phi$. Moreover, we consider the case when the transport coefficient $L$ is independent of $\phi$ [41], because a composition dependent transport coefficient would not alter the asymptotic dynamics [42,43]. In the present work, we do not include any stochastic noise.

The last term $-\zeta \mathbf{v}$ in Eq. (7) represents the frictional dissipation between the epidermal layer and dermis. In human tissues, such a friction arises from the adhesion of integrins that connect a keratin intracellular network to collagen fibers of basement membranes. With this frictional term, the total momentum is no longer conserved within the 2D fluid sheet. Furthermore, the friction coefficient $\zeta$ controls the strength of hydrodynamic interactions. Namely, hydrodynamics does not play any role when $\zeta \to \infty$, whereas hydrodynamic interactions are fully present when $\zeta \to 0$. Later, we shall systematically change the value of $\zeta$ to investigate the effects of hydrodynamic interactions on the phase separation kinetics.

To further obtain the chemical potential $\mu$ and the stress tensor $\mathbf{\Sigma}$, we introduce the total free energy describing the phase separation of a cell mixture. Following Wise et al. who discussed a continuum model of multi-species tumor growth [44], we use the following form for a binary cellular system:

$$F = \int d\mathbf{r} \left\{ \frac{a}{2\beta^2} [\ln \phi + (1 - \phi) \ln(1 - \phi) + \chi(\phi - 1) + \frac{\kappa}{2} \nabla^2 \phi] \right\}. \quad (8)$$

Here, $a$ has the dimension of length, $\beta^{-1}$ has the dimension of energy, $\chi$ is a dimensionless interaction parameter between cancer and healthy cells, and $\kappa > 0$ is a quantity related to the line tension in the 2D cellular sheet.

Since the above equation has the same form as the Flory-Huggins free energy, a phase separation occurs for the condition $\chi > 2$ [45]. Notice that the local terms can be replaced by any other phenomenological description which exhibits a phase separation at sufficiently strong repulsion between the different cell types. Hence, the exact functional form is not important and different forms of free energy were proposed in Refs. [13–16].

The chemical potential $\mu$ is obtained from the functional derivative of the total free energy $F$ with respect to $\phi$ [45],

$$\mu = \frac{\delta F}{\delta \phi} = \frac{1}{a^2 \beta} \left[ \ln \frac{\phi}{1 - \phi} + \chi(1 - 2\phi) \right] - \kappa \nabla^2 \phi. \quad (9)$$

The stress tensor due to the composition gradient $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ is given by [45]

$$\Sigma_{ij} = -\kappa \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r_i} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r_j}, \quad (10)$$

with $i, j = x, y$.

The coupled Eqs. (6) and (7) together with the incompressibility condition in Eq. (1) constitute our model for skin cancers and provide us with a new type of phase separation dynamics. In the absence of the cancer proliferation effect, i.e., $\gamma = 0$, the above model reduces to conventional models for macrophase separations [18–20]. When $\gamma = 0$, our model reduces to model H in the limit of $\zeta \to 0$ with full hydrodynamic interactions, while it corresponds to model B in the limit of $\zeta \to \infty$ for which hydrodynamic interactions are completely suppressed. The case of $\gamma \neq 0$ showing an arrested phase separation was studied for the pattern formation...
of bacterial colonies in the absence of hydrodynamic interactions [21].

C. Simulation method

We numerically solve Eqs. (1), (6), and (7) by using a standard Euler’s method on a 2D square lattice of size $512 \times 512$ with periodic boundary conditions. The pressure field $p$ is calculated with the marker-and-cell method in each time step [46]. It is convenient to use the quantities $a$, $\beta^{-1}$, and $a^2 \beta/L$ to scale length, energy, and time, respectively. The numerical estimations for these quantities will be discussed in Sec. V. Then the dimensionless velocity becomes $\tilde{v} \equiv (a^2 \beta/L)v$ and the dimensionless model parameters are defined by

$$\tilde{\rho} \equiv \frac{L^2}{a^2 \beta} \rho, \quad \tilde{\eta} \equiv \frac{L}{a^2 \eta}, \quad \tilde{\gamma} \equiv a^2 \beta/L \gamma, \quad \tilde{\zeta} \equiv L \zeta, \quad \tilde{\kappa} \equiv \beta \kappa. \quad (11)$$

With the above rescaling, we end up with the following six dimensionless parameters: $\chi$, $\tilde{\kappa}$, $\tilde{\rho}$, $\tilde{\eta}$, $\tilde{\gamma}$, and $\tilde{\zeta}$. Among these parameters, we have fixed four of them as $\chi = 2.5$, $\tilde{\kappa} = 1.0$, $\tilde{\rho} = 0.3$, and $\tilde{\eta} = 1.0$ in our simulations. Moreover, the initial and the final values of the cancer area fractions are chosen as $\phi_0 = 0.3$ and $\phi_\infty = 0.8$ [36], respectively. In the following, we shall mainly vary the two dynamical parameters, $\tilde{\gamma}$ and $\tilde{\zeta}$, to see the effects of cancer proliferation and hydrodynamic interactions on the pattern formation of skin cancers. Physically speaking, the strength of the hydrodynamic interaction should be characterized by a dimensionless number $\zeta a^2/\eta = \tilde{\zeta}/\tilde{\eta}$ that involves both the viscosity and the friction coefficient. Since we set $\tilde{\eta} = 1.0$ in our simulations, the parameter $\tilde{\zeta}$ controls the strength of the hydrodynamic interaction. When we present the simulation results in Sec. III, the above tilde notation is omitted and all the quantities are treated as dimensionless numbers.

III. SIMULATION RESULTS

A. Pattern formation dynamics

In this section, we present the results of the numerical simulations of the proposed model. We first define the spatially averaged composition of cancer cells as

$$\langle \phi(r) \rangle = \frac{1}{A} \int d\mathbf{r} \phi(\mathbf{r}, t), \quad (12)$$

where $A$ is the total area of the system. Because of the cancer proliferation, $\langle \phi(\mathbf{r}) \rangle$ varies from the initial value $\phi_0 = 0.3$ toward the stationary value $\phi_\infty = 0.8$. Typical time evolutions of cancer patterns are shown in Fig. 2 when $\zeta = 0$ for four different values of the cancer proliferation rate $\gamma = 1, 3, 4$, and $5 \times 10^{-3}$ (bottom to top). Notice that $\zeta = 0$ corresponds to the case with full hydrodynamic interactions.

Let us first discuss the case of small proliferation rate $\gamma = 1 \times 10^{-3}$ (bottom panels in Fig. 2 and the movie SM1.mp4 in the Supplemental Material [47]). In the initial stage at around $t = 5 \times 10^2$, dots of cancer cells (shown in black) are

![Figure 2](image-url)
formed within a continuous healthy region (shown in white). We shall call such a structure as a “cancer-in-healthy” (C/H) pattern. As time evolves, smaller cancer domains collide and merge to form larger domains at around $t = 10^4$. However, not all the cancer domains are connected to each other even though $\langle \phi(t) \rangle$ already exceeds the critical composition $\phi_c = 0.5$. The C/H pattern in the late stage no longer evolves in time and the system attains a steady state without undergoing a macroscopic phase separation. This result shows that our model exhibits a microphase separation.

When the cancer proliferation rate is larger such as when $\gamma = 5 \times 10^{-3}$ (top panels in Fig. 2), healthy regions transform to cancer domains even in the early stage, and the C/H pattern is already formed at around $t = 10^2$. As the average composition $\langle \phi(t) \rangle$ increases, a locally bicontinuous cancer structure is formed at around $t = 10^3$. However, such a locally bicontinuous structure is destroyed later and smaller healthy domains emerge. At this stage, black cancer domains are almost fully connected to form a large continuous domain at around $t = 5 \times 10^3$. In the late stage, circular domains of healthy cells appear in the network of cancer cells. Such a structure will be called as a “healthy-in-cancer” (H/C) pattern. These circular healthy domains do not coarsen any more in the long time and result in a microphase separation.

When the proliferation rate is intermediate such as when $\gamma = 3 \times 10^{-3}$, healthy domains are elongated and form a narrow continuous network. Moreover, cancer domains in the late stage at around $t = 10^5$ take polygonal shapes rather than circular shapes. For $\gamma = 4 \times 10^{-3}$, a coexistence between the C/H and H/C patterns is observed as a steady-state structure.

So far we have explained the effects of cancer proliferation rate $\gamma$ in the presence of full hydrodynamic interactions, i.e., $\zeta = 0$. Next we investigate the hydrodynamic effects by changing the friction coefficient $\zeta$. In Fig. 3, we present the time evolutions of cancer patterns when the proliferation rate is fixed to $\gamma = 1 \times 10^{-3}$ while the friction coefficient is varied as $\zeta = 10^{-3}, 10^{-2}, 10^{-1}$, and $\infty$ (top to bottom). Notice that hydrodynamic interactions are completely absent when $\zeta \to \infty$. In practice, this situation is simulated by omitting the advection term in Eq. (6) which is then decoupled from the Stokes equation. When the friction coefficient is small such as when $\zeta = 10^{-3}$ (top panels in Fig. 3), the time evolution of cancer pattern is similar to that obtained with full hydrodynamic interactions (bottom panels in Fig. 2). However, the steady-state cancer domains at around $t = 10^5$ are more elongated. The appearance of elongated domains in the steady state is more remarkable for $\zeta = 10^{-2}$.

As the hydrodynamic interactions are further weakened such as when $\zeta = 10^{-1}$, cancer domains are more elongated especially in the late stage patterns. Here we emphasize again that the major cancer domains are disconnected while the minor healthy domains form a continuous network structure. When hydrodynamic interactions are completely absent (bottom panels in Fig. 3 and the movie SM2.mp4 in the Supplemental Material [47]), we eventually obtain an asymmetric pattern.
FIG. 4. Plots of the average area fraction $\langle \phi(t) \rangle$, defined by Eq. (12), as a function of time $t$ (a) in the absence of hydrodynamic interactions and (b) in the presence of full hydrodynamic interactions ($\zeta = 0$). In the former case, simulations were performed by omitting the advection term in Eq. (6). The cancer proliferation rate is changed as $\gamma = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 \times 10^{-3}$ (from bottom to top). The other parameters are the same as those in Fig. 2. The bicontinuous (AB) structure at least locally. In this structure, both the wider interconnected cancer domain and the narrower interconnected healthy domain are convoluted to each other for $t \geq 10^4$.

**B. Average cancer composition**

In Fig. 4, we have plotted the average cancer composition $\langle \phi(t) \rangle$, defined by Eq. (12), as a function of time $t$ by changing the cancer proliferation rate $\gamma$. To calculate this quantity, average over five independent runs (starting from different initial configurations) has been taken. Figure 4(a) is the case when hydrodynamic interactions are completely absent. As $\gamma$ is increased, the saturation time becomes smaller and the saturated value of $\langle \phi(t) \rangle$ becomes larger. It is interesting to note that $\langle \phi(t) \rangle$ overshoots before it reaches the stationary value.

When hydrodynamic interactions are fully present ($\zeta = 0$), however, the time evolutions of $\langle \phi(t) \rangle$ are different as presented in Fig. 4(b). Here we notice that the value of $\langle \phi(t) \rangle$ becomes slightly larger when the hydrodynamic interactions are present especially for larger $\gamma$ values. However, the overshooting behavior of $\langle \phi(t) \rangle$ is suppressed in Fig. 4(b). These results indicate that hydrodynamic interactions affect not only the steady-state behavior but also the transient dynamics of pattern formation.

**C. Steady-state diagram**

Next we have systematically varied the proliferation rate $\gamma$ and the friction coefficient $\zeta$ to see how the steady-state structures depend on these dynamic parameters. We have mentioned before that there are at least three different steady-state patterns: cancer-in-healthy (C/H), healthy-in-cancer (H/C), and asymmetric bicontinuous (AB) patterns. The obtained steady-state patterns are classified into these three cases for different combinations of $\gamma$ and $\zeta$. In Fig. 5, we summarize the results in terms of a steady-state diagram in which the three different cases, C/H, H/C, and AB are distinguished. The triangle indicates the coexistence between C/H and H/C patterns and the joins indicate the coexistence between C/H and AB patterns.
The C/H pattern clinically corresponds to the globule pattern of melanoma, and is typically observed when the proliferation rate $\gamma$ is small and hydrodynamic interactions are strong (small $\zeta$). The AB pattern appears when hydrodynamic interactions are weak or fully suppressed (large $\zeta$) while the proliferation rate $\gamma$ is relatively small. The AB pattern may correspond to the stripe pattern of melanoma mainly found in human palms or soles. Finally, the H/C pattern typically appears when both $\gamma$ and $\zeta$ are large. When the proliferation rate is as large as $\gamma = 5 \times 10^{-3}$, only the H/C pattern is obtained irrespective of the strength of hydrodynamic interactions. In contrast to the other two cases, however, the H/C pattern is usually not diagnosed in typical skin cancers because domains of healthy cells are completely destroyed by invasive cancer cells.

In the case of an ordinary microphase separation, the late stage structure should be the H/C pattern when $\langle \phi(t) \rangle > 0.5$. As shown in Fig. 5, however, we obtain either the C/H pattern or the AB pattern for different combinations of $\zeta$ and $\gamma$, especially when $\gamma$ is small. This is one of the unique features of the proposed model for cancer cells with hydrodynamic interactions. Since these steady-state patterns are typically obtained in the presence of hydrodynamic interactions, we consider that they appear kinetically and do not correspond to equilibrium microstructures. The different mechanisms for the pattern formation will be discussed in Sec. IV.

D. Structure analysis

To analyze the time evolutions of the patterns quantitatively, we have calculated their structure factors. Let $\delta \phi(\mathbf{r}, t)$ be the deviation of $\phi(\mathbf{r}, t)$ from its average value, $\delta \phi(\mathbf{r}, t) = \phi(\mathbf{r}, t) - \langle \phi(t) \rangle$, where $\langle \phi(t) \rangle$ defined in Eq. (12) depends on time. First we introduce the spatial Fourier transform of $\delta \phi(\mathbf{r}, t)$ by

$$\delta \phi_{k}(t) = \int d \mathbf{r} \delta \phi(\mathbf{r}, t) e^{-i k \mathbf{r}},$$

where $\mathbf{k} = (k_x, k_y)$ is a 2D wave vector. Then the structure factor is defined as

$$S(k, t) = \langle \delta \phi_{k}(t) \delta \phi_{-k}(t) \rangle,$$

where the average is over the ensemble of systems. Using the circularly averaged structure factor $S(k, t)$ with $k = |k|$, we calculate the following (inverse) characteristic length scale of patterns [48],

$$\langle k(t) \rangle = \frac{\int dk k^{-1} S(k, t)}{\int dk k^{-2} S(k, t)},$$

where we omit $k = 0$ in the integrals.

In Fig. 6, we plot the time evolutions of the circularly averaged structure factor $S(k, t)$ as a function of the wave number $k$ when $\gamma = 3 \times 10^{-3}$. Figure 6(a) corresponds to the case when hydrodynamic interactions are absent, while Fig. 6(b) presents the case with full hydrodynamics. By comparing these two cases, we see that the early stage structures are similar as long as the proliferation rate $\gamma$ is the same. In the intermediate stage, however, the microstructure formation is faster in the presence of hydrodynamic interactions, and the peak position is shifted to a smaller $k$ value in Fig. 6(b).

We also find that the peak height in the late stage is slightly smaller in Fig. 6(b) than that in Fig. 6(a).

In Fig. 7, we have plotted the characteristic wave number $\langle k(t) \rangle$, defined by Eq. (15), as a function of time. The proliferation rate $\gamma$ is similarly changed as in Fig. 4 and the average over five independent runs has been taken as before. As shown in Fig. 7(a) when hydrodynamic interactions are absent, the average wave number $\langle k(t) \rangle$ saturates at larger values (smaller structures) when $\gamma$ is increased. This means that $\gamma$ is an important parameter that controls the characteristic length scale of the steady-state microstructures. Comparing Figs. 4(a) and 7(a), we notice that the saturation times for $\langle \phi(t) \rangle$ roughly correspond to those for $\langle k(t) \rangle$.

The effects of hydrodynamic interactions on $\langle k(t) \rangle$ can be seen in Fig. 7(b) for which we have set $\zeta = 0$. Here $\langle k(t) \rangle$...
FIG. 7. Log-log plots of the characteristic wave number \( \langle k(t) \rangle \), defined by Eq. (15), as a function of time \( t \) (a) in the absence of hydrodynamic interactions and (b) in the presence of full hydrodynamic interactions \( (\zeta = 0) \). The cancer proliferation rate is changed as \( \gamma = 1, 2, 3, 4, \) and \( 5 \times 10^{-3} \) [from bottom to top at \( t = 10^5 \) in (a) and from right to left for the intermediate time region in (b)]. The other parameters are the same as those in Fig. 2. The dashed lines indicate the power-law behaviors with the respective slopes \(-1/3\) in (a) and \(-2/3\) in (b).

shows a large decrease up to the intermediate stage. This result indicates that hydrodynamic interactions tend to form larger domains even though they are only transient structures. Interestingly, a minimum of \( \langle k(t) \rangle \) appears at around \( t = 10^4 \) and \( \langle k(t) \rangle \) exhibits an undershooting behavior. Hence, the transient domain size depends not only on the proliferation rate \( \gamma \) but also on the friction coefficient \( \zeta \). According to Fig. 7(b), however, the late stage dynamics of \( \langle k(t) \rangle \) has not yet reached the steady state completely. Such a long-lived dynamics is also different from the case without hydrodynamic interactions.

E. Scaling analysis of domain growth

The result in Fig. 7(a) can be further analyzed in terms of the scaling argument. In the case of \( \gamma = 0 \), for which the average cancer fraction remains constant (conserved case), the system exhibits a macrophase separation because such a case without any hydrodynamics corresponds to model B. Let us denote the steady-state characteristic wave number as \( k_\infty \). In Fig. 8(a), we plot \( k_\infty \) as a function of \( \gamma \) used in Fig. 7(a). We find a clear power-law behavior, i.e., \( k_\infty \sim \gamma^s \) with \( s \approx 0.32 \). This result suggests that the characteristic wave number obeys
the following scaling form:

\[ \langle k(t) \rangle \sim t^{-\alpha} f(\gamma t), \]  
(16)

where \( \alpha \) is the domain growth exponent in the absence of the cancer proliferation effect, and \( f(z) \) is a scaling function with a dimensionless variable \( z = \gamma t \). A similar scaling hypothesis was successfully used to analyze the phase separation dynamics of chemically reactive binary mixtures [31,32] or that of block copolymer melts [33–35].

According to the evaporation-condensation process considered by Lifshitz and Slyozov [30], the growth exponent should be \( \alpha = 1/3 \) when hydrodynamic interactions are absent. This exponent is indeed observed and shown by the dashed line in Fig. 7(a) before the saturation time. The asymptotic behavior of the scaling function should be \( f(z) \sim \) const. for \( z \ll 1 \), and \( f(z) \sim z^s \) for \( z \gg 1 \). The latter power-law behavior is required because \( \langle k(t) \rangle \) should not depend on time \( t \) in the steady state. Hence, we immediately obtain \( k_\infty \sim \gamma^s \) and \( s = \alpha \). In Fig. 8(b), we have replotted the quantity \( \langle k(t) \rangle t^{1/3} \) as a function of \( \gamma t \) using all the data in Fig. 7(a). The collapse of all the curves demonstrates that our simulation results are in good agreement with the above scaling ansatz as long as \( \gamma \) is small enough.

In Fig. 7(b) with full hydrodynamic interactions, the growth exponent in the intermediate stage is as large as \( \alpha = 2/3 \) which is much larger than that in Fig. 7(a). However, this result does not obey a simple scaling behavior because of the complicated undershooting behaviors. Here we point out that the value \( \alpha = 2/3 \) was discussed by Furukawa who considered the interplay between the inertia of the fluid and the surface energy density [20,49]. This growth exponent was also confirmed by lattice Boltzmann simulations for a critical quench of a 2D binary fluid when the viscosity is small and stochastic noise is absent [50,51]. Our result cannot be directly compared with theirs because the average composition varies with time and also the system exhibits a microphase separation in the late stage. However, it is evident from Fig. 7(b) that a substantial acceleration of phase separation takes place in the presence of hydrodynamic flows.

### IV. MECHANISMS FOR PATTERN FORMATION

#### A. Early stage

In the early stage of phase separation, when \( \phi \) is mostly uniform with small perturbations, we are able to analyze the pattern formation by using the amplitude equations method with which the variations of \( \phi \) and \( v \) are viewed as a group of perturbation waves:

\[
\phi(\mathbf{r}, t) \approx \langle \phi(t) \rangle + \sum \delta \phi_{\mathbf{q}}(t)e^{i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}} + \text{c.c.},
\]
(17)

\[
v(\mathbf{r}, t) \approx \sum \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{q}}(t)e^{i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}} + \text{c.c.},
\]
(18)

where c.c. denotes the complex conjugate and the summation of \( \mathbf{q} \) is taken over the principal modes of the pattern of interest.

The amplitude equations can be derived by substituting Eqs. (17) and (18) into Eqs. (6) and (7):

\[
d\delta \phi_{\mathbf{q}} \approx \gamma \langle \phi \rangle \left( 1 - \frac{\langle \phi \rangle}{\phi_\infty} \right) - \gamma \phi_\infty \sum \delta \phi_{\mathbf{q}},
\]
(19)

\[
d\delta \phi_{\mathbf{q}} \approx -i \mathbf{q} \cdot \sum (\delta \phi_{\mathbf{q}}, v_{\mathbf{q}}) - q^2 \mu_{\mathbf{q}} + \gamma \langle \phi \rangle \left( 1 - \frac{2\langle \phi \rangle}{\phi_\infty} \right) - \gamma \phi_\infty \sum (\delta \phi_{\mathbf{q}}, \delta \phi_{\mathbf{q}}),
\]
(20)

\[
\rho \frac{dv_{\mathbf{q}}}{dt} = -\eta q^2 v_{\mathbf{q}} - i \mathbf{q} p_{\mathbf{q}} + i \mathbf{q} \cdot \Sigma_{\mathbf{q}} - \gamma v_{\mathbf{q}},
\]
(21)

where \( q = |\mathbf{q}| \). In the above, \( \mu_{\mathbf{q}}, p_{\mathbf{q}}, v_{\mathbf{q}} \), and \( \Sigma_{\mathbf{q}} \) are the \( \mathbf{q} \)th component of the Fourier series of \( \mu, p, v \), and \( \Sigma \), respectively, and are given by

\[
\mu_{\mathbf{q}} \approx \left[ \frac{1}{\langle \phi \rangle} + \frac{1}{1 - \langle \phi \rangle} - 2 \chi + \kappa q^2 \right] \delta \phi_{\mathbf{q}} + \frac{1}{2} \left[ -1 - \langle \phi \rangle \right] \sum (\delta \phi_{\mathbf{q}}, \delta \phi_{\mathbf{q}}),
\]
(22)

\[
\frac{1}{3 \langle \phi \rangle} \left[ 1 - \langle \phi \rangle \right] \sum (\delta \phi_{\mathbf{q}}, \delta \phi_{\mathbf{q}}),
\]
(23)

\[
\delta \phi_{\mathbf{q}} \delta \phi_{\mathbf{q}},
\]
(24)

\[
\rho \frac{dv_{\mathbf{q}}}{dt} = -\eta q^2 v_{\mathbf{q}} - i \mathbf{q} \cdot \Sigma_{\mathbf{q}} - \gamma v_{\mathbf{q}},
\]
(25)

\[
\rho \frac{dv_{\mathbf{q}}}{dt} = -\eta q^2 v_{\mathbf{q}} - i \mathbf{q} \cdot \Sigma_{\mathbf{q}} - \gamma v_{\mathbf{q}},
\]
(26)

where \( \mathbf{I} \) is the unit tensor and the three coefficients in Eq. (25) are given by

\[
\lambda_1 = -q^2 \left[ \frac{1}{\langle \phi \rangle} + \frac{1}{1 - \langle \phi \rangle} - 2 \chi + \kappa q^2 \right] + \gamma \left( 1 - \frac{2\langle \phi \rangle}{\phi_\infty} \right),
\]
(27)

\[
\lambda_2 = -q^2 \left[ \frac{1}{\langle \phi \rangle} + \frac{1}{1 - \langle \phi \rangle} - 2 \chi + \kappa q^2 \right] - \frac{1}{\phi_\infty},
\]
(28)

\[
\lambda_3 = -q^2 \left[ \frac{1}{\langle \phi \rangle} + \frac{1}{1 - \langle \phi \rangle} - 2 \chi + \kappa q^2 \right] < 0.
\]
(29)
According to the above amplitude equations, it is clear that the hydrodynamic interaction, described by the last term in Eq. (25), is a higher order contribution which does not influence the early stage dynamics. The linear term $\delta \phi_q$ in Eq. (25) is independent of hydrodynamic interactions and dominates when $\delta \phi_q$ is small. Therefore, the early stage dynamics must be similar regardless of the values of $\zeta$ as seen in Fig. 3 for $t \leq 10^3$.

According to the time evolution of the velocity in Eq. (26), the combination $\eta q^2 + \zeta$ controls the decay of the hydrodynamic flow. This implies that hydrodynamic interactions play a significant role for large length scales. Consequently, the flow is suppressed in the early stage when the average wave number $\langle k(t) \rangle$ is large, whereas it is strengthened when $\langle k \rangle$ decreases as pattern evolves.

### B. Late stage

To discuss the late stage dynamics from the viewpoint of hydrodynamic flows, we show in Fig. 9 the velocity field $v(\mathbf{r}, t)$ together with the cancer fraction field $\phi(\mathbf{r}, t)$ in the presence of full hydrodynamic interactions ($\zeta = 0$) at (a) $t = 7800$ and (b) $t = 9400$ when $\gamma = 1 \times 10^{-3}$ (see also SM1.mp4 in the Supplemental Material [47]). In Fig. 9(a), a large scale pair of vortices is created; one of them rotates clockwise and the other moves counterclockwise. Such a flow is triggered by the coalescence of two smaller domains into a larger domain. As a result, a strong flow is induced at the neck region of the two merging domains. Somewhat later in Fig. 9(b), however, a circular flow appears inside a large domain. Another important feature in this pattern is the existence of a flow along the domain boundaries. Such a flow sometimes induces a large velocity field in the narrow channel between larger domains.

In the late stage of pattern evolution, the domain structures of the healthy and cancer cells become relatively robust. The values of $\phi$ within healthy-rich and cancer-rich domains are saturated to $\phi \approx 0.145$ and $\phi \approx 0.855$, respectively, which correspond to the two free energy minima of Eq. (8) when $\chi = 2.5$. Once the microstructure is formed, the subsequent evolution of pattern is determined by the competition between two different processes; the shape accommodation and the coalescence process.

The shape accommodation results from the movement of interfaces that tends to minimize total interfacial energy. Therefore, the system energetically favors circular domains and the resultant pattern is the C/H pattern composed of circular cancer domains separated by healthy cells. However, the interface is not static due to non-zero net proliferation rate and the coalescence occurs when two nearby cancer domains continue to grow and eventually connect each other. For larger proliferation rates, the coalescence surpasses the shape accommodation process. Hence, cancer domains get interconnected and the length scale of pattern increases. This process leads to a breakdown of the sixfold symmetry of the C/H pattern owing to the random connecting processes.

Since the pattern is kinetically controlled by these two processes, the steady state should depend on the values of $\gamma$ and $\zeta$, as summarized in Fig. 5. The rate of coalescence process is influenced by the domain growth rate $\gamma$. The shape accommodation is realized through the mass transportation and it is enhanced by the additional hydrodynamics flows across interface, as presented in Fig. 9. Thus, when $\gamma$ is as large as $\gamma \approx 5 \times 10^{-3}$, the dominating coalescence process connects all domains together and transforms the pattern into a uniform cancer cells with few healthy spots, corresponding to the H/C patterns. However, the shape accommodation process is faster than the coalescence process for small $\gamma$ so that the C/H pattern is preserved in the late stage. The intermediate stripe-like pattern (AB pattern) appears in the steady state when the coalescence and shape accommodation processes are comparable.
V. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

In this paper, we have performed numerical simulations of pattern formation of skin cancers. In our phase separation model for a binary cellular system, we have taken into account the effects of cancer proliferation and hydrodynamic interactions to describe the time evolutions of cancer cells. As a result of the proliferation effect, the emerging patterns drastically change their structures depending on the different stages of the phase separation dynamics.

By controlling the cancer proliferation rate \( \gamma \) and the friction coefficient \( \zeta \) between dermis and epidermis, we have obtained various types of steady-state cancer pattern such as a cancer-in-healthy pattern (C/H), a healthy-in-cancer pattern (H/C), and an locally asymmetric bicontinuous (AB) structure. As summarized in Fig. 5, we have constructed the steady-state pattern diagram for different combinations of \( \gamma \) and \( \zeta \) values. In particular, the C/H patterns obtained for a small proliferation rate and strong hydrodynamic interactions (small \( \zeta \)) and the AB structures obtained for weak hydrodynamic interactions (large \( \zeta \)) might correspond to the globule and the stripe patterns, respectively, in real melanoma diagnoses.

For a quantitative analysis, we have calculated the spatially averaged composition of cancer cells, \( \langle \phi(t) \rangle \), and the characteristic length of the cancer patterns, \( \langle k(t) \rangle \), as a function of time \( t \) (see Figs. 4 and 7) both in the presence and the absence of hydrodynamic interactions. We have shown that \( \langle \phi(t) \rangle \) and \( \langle k(t) \rangle \) depend not only on the proliferation rate but also on the strength of hydrodynamic interactions. Without hydrodynamic flows, we have confirmed in Fig. 8 that the scaling behavior of the characteristic length is described by the form of Eq. (16). With hydrodynamic flows, however, the domain growth exponent in the intermediate stage was as large as \( \alpha = 2/3 \), showing a pronounced acceleration of the microphase separation.

First, we shall give some numbers for the quantities mentioned in Sec. II C to scale length, energy, and time that are relevant to skin cancers [see Eq. (11)]. The typical length scale observed in skin cancer patterns is in the order of \( 10^{-3} \) m. According to Fig. 7, the characteristic wave number in the steady state of our simulation is \( \langle k \rangle \alpha \approx 0.1 \) (notice that we recover the dimensions of the physical quantities in this section). From these values, we set the unit of length as \( a \approx 10^{-5} \) m which corresponds to the size of an epidermal cell [13]. Since the interstitial fluid pressure in skin carcinoma was estimated to be roughly \( \Pi \approx 10^{3} \) Pa [13,36], we obtain the typical energy scale as \( \beta^{-1} \sim \Pi a^{3} \approx 10^{-12} \) J, which is much larger than the thermal energy. From the data of the interphase friction [13,52,53], the 3D transport coefficient can be evaluated as \( \eta_{3D} \approx 10^{-15} \) m² Pa⁻¹ s⁻¹. With this value, we estimate the typical time scale in our model as \( a^{4} \beta / L \sim a^{4} \beta / L_{3D} \approx 10^{2} \) s.

Having discussed various scales for skin cancers, we can convert the dimensionless parameters in our simulations to the physical quantities with dimensions. For example, the dimensionless time \( t/(a^{4} \beta / L) \approx 10^{5} \) to reach the steady states in Fig. 7 roughly corresponds to \( t \approx 10^{2} \) days which are reasonable for cancer spreading. The choice \( \tilde{n} = L_{3D} \eta / a^{2} = 1 \) in our simulation corresponds to \( \eta_{3D} \sim \eta / a \approx 10^{5} \) Pa s that fits within the previously reported viscosity values [4,25]. As for the cancer proliferation rate, the value \( \tilde{\gamma} \sim a^{4} \beta \gamma / L = 10^{-3} \) roughly corresponds to \( \gamma \approx 10^{-5} \) s⁻¹ \( \approx 1 \) day⁻¹. This proliferation rate is in agreement with that in the previous reports [13,54]. Finally, the range of the scaled friction coefficient \( \xi = L \zeta = 10^{-3} - 1 \) in our simulation predicts \( \zeta \approx 10^{2} - 10^{3} \) Pa s m⁻¹ and it coincides with the range of the friction coefficient in Ref. [55].

Next we discuss the role of cancer proliferation effects on the phase separation dynamics. In the conventional model B describing ordinary macrophase separations, a typical time scale is set by the transport coefficient \( L \). In the present model, however, the proliferation rate \( \gamma \) in Eq. (5) provides us with additional time scale. Generally speaking, the phase separation dynamics should be determined by the competition between these two time scales. In our simulation, the initial cancer composition started from \( \phi_{0} = 0.3 \) and \( L \) was much larger than \( \gamma \). More precisely, we have chosen the dimensionless number as \( a^{4} \beta \gamma / L \approx 10^{-3} \) in the simulations [see Eq. (11)]. Hence, the compositional instability for the phase separation, that is governed by \( L \), takes place before the average composition \( \langle \phi(t) \rangle \) increases with the rate \( \gamma \).

As shown in Fig. 2, the cancer domains appear as a result of unstable concentration fluctuations, and they form C/H patterns for \( \langle \phi(t) \rangle < 0.5 \) in the early stage. In the late stage, the initial C/H pattern continues to remain for smaller \( \gamma \) values, while it transforms into the H/C pattern for larger \( \gamma \) values. When the quantity \( a^{4} \beta \gamma / L \) is much larger and becomes close to unity, the system always exhibits the H/C pattern because the average composition will be immediately saturated at a larger value \( \langle \phi(t) \rangle > 0.5 \) before the system undergoes a phase separation. Hence, the cancer proliferation significantly affects the microstructures of cancer patterns.

In the present work, we have considered a 2D system composed of cancer and healthy cells whose compositions evolve in time due to the cancer proliferation effect. Although a similar model was proposed by Chatelain et al. [13,14], the main difference in our work is that the effects of hydrodynamic interactions are explicitly taken into account. Moreover, the strength of hydrodynamic interactions can be controlled by changing the friction coefficient \( \zeta \). When hydrodynamic interactions are fully present, the C/H patterns continue to remain even in the late stage when \( \langle \phi(t) \rangle > 0.5 \) (see bottom panels in Fig. 2 and 5C in the Supplemental Material [47]). Such a transient pattern was not observed in the previous study by Chatelain et al. [13,14].

Alternatively, Chatelain et al. took into account the diffusion of nutrient (oxygen) concentration chosen as an additional variable [13,14]. Accordingly, they employed a diffusion equation for the nutrient concentration with a source term. In their model, the cell-nutrient interaction defines a typical diffusive length that controls the saturation of growing domains. In our model, we did not consider such a coupling to the diffusion of nutrients from an outer environment, but simply used the logistic growth model to describe the cancer proliferation [see Eq. (5)]. As mentioned before, this simplification is justified when the cancer composition is proportional to the nutrient concentration.

We have assumed that dermal and epidermal boundary is flat and the epidermal layer was modeled as a 2D fluid.
However, the structure of dermis and epidermal can affect the cell differentiation and also the cancer pattern formation. For example, Balouis et al. considered melanin transport in epidermis and showed that it is influenced by the dermal and epidermal shape [16]. Such a geometrical effect of basal layer will be considered in our future study by taking into account the hydrodynamic interaction.

Cates et al. argued that the appearance of an arrested 2D phase separation in bacterial colonies can be explained only by considering a local density-dependent motility and the birth/death of bacteria [21]. In their work, the competition between the effects of birth/death and diffusion leads to a typical length scale beyond which domain coarsening does not occur. The obtained patterns of 2D simulation indeed show droplets of the high-density phase dispersed in a continuous low-density phase at large times [21]. Such a situation is very reminiscent to the results of our model in the absence hydrodynamic interactions (either C/H or H/C pattern). However, we have shown that hydrodynamic interactions affect not only the steady-state patterns but also the transient patterns.

In Sec. II A, we have mentioned that the logistic growth of cancer cells in Eq. (5) can stem from the mechanical coupling effect that is controlled by the homeostatic pressure [4]. Ranft et al. discussed the propagation of an interface between two different cell populations when the homeostatic pressures of two cell types are different [56]. Taking into account both substrate friction and hydrodynamic interactions, Podewitz et al. performed mesoscopic simulations to investigate interface dynamics of competing tissues [57]. They showed that the propagation velocity of the interface is proportional to the homeostatic stress difference. Recently, Williamson and Salbreux studied the stability and roughness of such a propagating interface [55]. In these studies, however, the formation of microstructures of cancer cells, such as dots or stripes, has not been investigated.

As mentioned before, our model can reproduce clinically observed globule and stripe patterns in melanoma. The C/H patterns tend to appear when the proliferation rate is small and the hydrodynamic interactions are strong. By contrast, the stripe patterns, which are often found in human palms or soles, tend to appear when hydrodynamic interactions are absent. In reality, palms and soles contain a thick stratum corneum and an unique cell layer called “stratum lucidum” which has a finite stiffness. Such a stiffness may reduce hydrodynamic interactions and results in the formation of stripe patterns.

Our model suggests that the proliferation and invasion of cancer cells in superficial spreading melanoma can be predicted by observing the epidermis using dermoscopy. Melanoma cells migrate horizontally in the epidermis in the initial stage of tumor development, during which the clinical staging is described by “Clark’s level” and “Breslow’s depth” [58]. In its staging, the diffusion range and the cell spreading pattern of melanoma cells are the most important measures for making prognostic predictions, such as the five-year patient survival rate [59,60]. The present work presents objective diagnostic indicators and methodologies for making prognostic predictions for these patients that can be verified by dermoscopic image data. We expect that our work will be applied to the development and evaluation of future clinical diagnosis.
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